Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC’s project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions
Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments
Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, “You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.” Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.
- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD’s funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: FL-510 - Jacksonville-Duval, Clay Counties CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Changing Homelessness, Inc

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Changing Homelessness, Inc
HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

### 1B-1. Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.

**NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.**

In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:

1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or

2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Person</th>
<th>Participated in CoC Meetings</th>
<th>Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members</th>
<th>Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Affordable Housing Developer(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Disability Advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Disability Service Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Hospital(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)</td>
<td>Nonexistent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. LGBTQ+ Service Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Local Government Staff/Officials</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Local Jail(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Mental Health Service Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Mental Illness Advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Other homeless subpopulation advocates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Public Housing Authorities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>State Domestic Violence Coalition</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>State Sexual Assault Coalition</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Street Outreach Team(s)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Substance Abuse Advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Substance Abuse Service Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Victim Service Providers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Domestic Violence Advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Other Victim Service Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Youth Advocates</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Youth Homeless Organizations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Youth Service Providers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Other: (limit 50 characters)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Jesse Ball duPont Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1B-2. Open Invitation for New Members.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC’s website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
- ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
- invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. FL-510 always seeks new members, voices, and community stakeholders. Aside from the work of the Membership Committee, each month, we email out CoC news and highlights via a monthly newsletter to 455+ community stakeholders and a monthly Briefing to a broader audience of 1000+ people, with all monthly communication published on the website. When attending community engagement meetings, even in the virtual world, our Governance Board, CA Leadership, and Staff advocate for membership.

2. The CoC provides accommodations to persons with disabilities, including Interpreters for events and upon request. To ensure persons with disabilities have access to information via electronic format, we host all CoC-related content at www.changinghomelessness.org. These communications include agendas, minutes, and presentations, and we share them with our monthly General Membership meeting reminders.

3. The CoC Governance Board (Gov. Board), Membership Committee, and CA Leadership work with and invite representatives from all cultures. In 2021/22, we continue to expand our invitations and engagement with organizations serving specific communities, including participating in events/training with organizations like All Things Diverse and 904WARD to gain valuable information to share and ensure organizations and all people are represented within the CoC. In 2021/2022, the Gov. Board welcomed several new representatives. One member is from Kids Hope Alliance, an organization that works to ensure 1,000s of at-hope children and youth have access to critical services; Another member is from LISC, Jacksonville, which helps residents transform distressed neighborhoods into healthy, sustainable communities. And another member represents Agape Health Center, a federally qualified health center. With these new faces and community partners, we are connecting and expanding our reach, exposure, and inclusivity.

| 1B-3. CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness. |
| NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3) |

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1. solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;

2. communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and

3. took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. To solicit and consider stakeholders’ opinions, FL-510 utilizes multiple methods, including a website, monthly community meetings, surveys, social media, and reports (PIT, Annual), including our recent System Performance and Racial/Ethnic Disparities in the Homelessness Response System Report. Additionally, we partner with the Mayor's Office, Jacksonville’s City Council, the Special Committee to Address Critical Quality of Life Issues (CQLI) - Homelessness, State of Florida housing orgs, and national partners such as HUD TA (SPI Community Workshop), Community Solutions (Built for Zero Veterans Initiative), National Alliance to End Homelessness (NAEH) and Focus Strategies to garner a broad array of perspectives.

2. The FL-510 Shelter Task Force, which met weekly until mid-2022, continues to meet monthly with community-wide representation from the Mayor’s office, Department of Health, Hospitals, Service Providers, Sheriff’s office, etc.). Each month, we host a General Membership Meeting (with close to 100 attendees), and bi-monthly CoC Governance Board and Committee meetings. The CA CEO serves as the subject matter expert for Jacksonville’s City Council Special Committee to Address CQLI on Homelessness. All the referenced membership/committee meetings are open and offer an opportunity for community members to educate, collaborate, and leverage resources.

3. Several recommendations from the NAEH 2020/2021 RRH Learning Collaborative included the need for more intentional and consistent landlord engagement. As a result, our community implemented a Housing Services Team in Q4 of 2021. This System-Wide team provides CoC-wide landlord engagement and housing location services. An added community benefit is a software platform, PADMISSION, which is available to all CoC members allowing Case Managers the ability to engage more quickly with landlords and find suitable housing. And in January 2022, our community kicked off a Year-long Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative. Thus far, we have refined our person-centric and trauma-informed process, updated our CE Policies and Procedures, and have rolled out an updated CE Participation Guide, which will include annual training and participant agreements.

1B-4. Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)

Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:

1. that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;

2. about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;

3. about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and

4. how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. FL-510 uses various methods to notify the public of HUD CoC funding—multiple Constant Contact email announcements, the CA website, monthly General membership meetings, newsletters, briefings, and social media. In our content (regardless of the medium), we strive to communicate in easily understandable language, not to create barriers in the notification messages. Additionally, we hosted 2 Bidder's Conferences and weekly Office Hours (8/22, 8.29, and 9/6) for any organization interested in applying for funding. The CA staff also hosted numerous one-on-one training sessions for interested applicants.

2. FL-510 publishes the NOFO summary, local timeline, applicant criteria, priorities, scoresheets (for both New and Renewal applicants), and proposal submission details on the CA website and provides a thorough review during 2 Bidder's Conferences (8/18 and 8/19). We also email all training materials to attendees, including links to HUD's technical assistance e-snaps navigation and detailed application instructions on the CA website.

3. The CoC Gov. Board works with the CA to define the local timeline, establishes a Ranking & Scoring Task Force to review the NOFA & updates local scoresheets to reflect alignment with HUD/local priorities and performance measures. All applicants must meet threshold criteria and adhere to the Application Instructions & Scoring Guidance requirements. The CA completes a threshold review within a grace period for error correction. The CA hosts a Ranking & Scoring Training Session. Once trained, the Ranking and Scoring Committee reviews, scores, and meets to rank the projects. Then the CA shares the project ranking with CoC Gov. Board & they vote to accept. After acceptance, all applicants receive notification of selection or rejection and appeal process information.

4. The CoC provides accommodations to persons with disabilities upon request and hosts all CoC-related funding information on the CA website in electronic format for ease of access.
1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1. Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.

In the chart below:

1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC’s coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or

2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC’s geographic area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects</th>
<th>Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Funding Collaboratives</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Head Start Program</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Housing and services programs funded through Local Government</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Housing and services programs funded through State Government</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)</td>
<td>Nonexistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Private Foundations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Public Housing Authorities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other:(limit 50 characters)
18. CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1. consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2. participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3. provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4. provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC’s geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. FL-510's Collaborative Agency Changing Homelessness (CHI) is contracted by the City of Jacksonville (COJ) to administer ESG-CV funding as well as conduct programmatic monitoring (for ESG and ESG-CV) and designated by the CoC Governance body to facilitate the State of Florida ESG selection process, fiscal review, and annual on-site project monitoring. From 3/2020 and still in place today, 8/2022, CHI has supported the Shelter Task Force to develop, implement and manage the community's COVID response. For ESG and ESG-CV awardees, we provided training on best practices/program guides, program data quality reporting, the number of clients, services offered, and outcomes. Much of the ESG-CV work has been developed and implemented simultaneously.

2. Per the previous grant year's goals and objectives, HMIS data quality and completeness were evaluated monthly, and monthly reports were submitted to the State of Florida and the City of Jacksonville per our agreements. Moreover, 422 clients were enrolled from 7/1/2020-9/30/2021 (this timeframe accounts for State and City ESG) grant years. This includes 360 Rapid Re-Housing households and 62 Homeless Prevention households, with 96.93% of the clients retaining their housing after assistance. During this same time frame, we have served 306 clients in COVID Isolation and Non-Congregate Shelters.

3. As required, FL-510 provides PIT and HIC data to the City of Jacksonville and the State of Florida. Additionally, CHI produces and publishes the annual Point-In-Time Report data at the monthly General Membership meeting via the website, as well as a monthly newsletter. It is important to note that in 2022, FL-510 conducted a “sheltered only” PIT as our community was deeply impacted by the Omicron variant during January 2022.

4. Each year, CA-CEO Dawn Gilman provides PIT and HIC data to the City of Jacksonville's Housing & Community Development Division and the State of Florida's Office on Homelessness for Consolidated Plan updates.
Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member’s self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1. Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated. Yes
2. Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated. Yes
3. Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients. Yes
4. Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC’s geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance. Yes
5. Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers. No
6. Other. (limit 150 characters) No

1C-4. CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

| 1. Youth Education Provider | Yes |
| 2. State Education Agency (SEA) | Yes |
| 3. Local Education Agency (LEA) | Yes |
| 4. School Districts | Yes |

1C-4a. Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)
FL-510 has multiple formal partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, and School Districts. The CoC partners with Lutheran Services of Florida (LSF), our local Head Start provider, and the Early Learning Coalition. Three child-serving member agencies have MOUs with Head Start. Changing Homelessness (CA) has an MOU with the Early Learning Coalition, which allows homeless children access to daycare and after-school care at no charge. Sulzbacher’s Crawford Early Learning Center provides school prep and tutoring. FL-510 also works with various youth providers that offer educational opportunities. Several CoC members serve on the Kid’s Hope Alliance (KHA) System of Care Board, which provides critical academic, enrichment, nutrition, and mental health services, and the KHA Asst. Director of Provider Training & Engagement serves on the CoC Governance Board.

The CoC works with Florida State College at Jacksonville (FSCJ) to host GED training and testing for adults. In 2020/2021, the CoC partnered with FSCJ on a US Dept. of Education TRIO Educational Opportunity Center (EOC) grant, to which FSCJ was awarded. The CoC supports this effort through referrals, communication, and serving on the EOC Steering Committee. Currently, an FSCJ Director of Program Development, Curriculum, and Instruction serves on the CoC Governance Board.

The CoC partners with Duval County Public Schools (DCPS) to identify students experiencing homelessness and ensure their participation/success. DCPS School Liaisons work with all four shelters to identify students and maintain schooling. The CoC connects with schools on special projects – like an “encouragement activity” where students wrote inspirational messages for people transitioning from a Bridge Shelter environment.

Years ago, the membership adopted the Coalition Response to Collaboration & Consideration of Educational Needs. This guide outlines the educational expectation for all member agencies, including discussing eligibility and access to educational services, and continues to provide guidance.

1C-4b. Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)
FL-510’s policy dictates that all member agencies providing emergency shelter, transitional shelter, and other services adhere to the following educational guidelines:

1. Students ages 5 to 15 (Kindergarten eligible)
   a. Must be enrolled in a school within two business days of entry into a program while school is in session.
   b. Students joining a program over a holiday period will be enrolled in a school within two days of school resuming.
   c. There will be a discussion with the family on:
      i. Mid to long-term family goals, including educational goals
      ii. Specific health and safety considerations
      iii. McKinney-Vento services provided by public schools

2. Students ages 16 and older
   a. Must be enrolled in the most appropriate educational program available within 14 days of program entry.
   b. Before enrollment, agency staff will discuss the following programs and their availability:
      i. Public High School
      ii. Pathways Academy at FSCJ
      iii. GED programs
   c. There will also be a discussion with the student on the following:
      i. Educational and life goals
      ii. Specific health and safety considerations
      iii. McKinney-Vento services provided by public schools

3. School of Origin
   a. School-aged children may not be kept out of school because of a lack of proper documentation
   b. If the child is under an Individualized Education Program (IEP) in another state, an IEP must be implemented in the new state of residence until a new one has been completed
   c. All children have the right to continue in their school of origin

4. Before enrolling the child at a specific school, agency staff will inform the parent, guardian, or unaccompanied youth of their eligibility for McKinney-Vento education services. This will include a candid discussion of how local school districts provide these services, specific health and safety considerations of the student or family, and the educational goals of the child.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1C-4c.</th>
<th>Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MOU/MOA</th>
<th>Other Formal Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Birth to 3 years</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Child Care and Development Fund</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Early Childhood Providers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Early Head Start</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Federal Home Visiting Program (including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Head Start</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Healthy Start</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Public Pre-K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Tribal Home Visiting Program</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (limit 150 characters)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1C-5. **Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.**

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:

1. **update CoC-wide policies; and**
2. **ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. FL-510 has a long, cooperative relationship with the local DV providers in Northeast Florida, including Hubbard House, Micah’s Place, and Quigley House. In fact, the CoC and Hubbard House partnered in 2015 to create Safe Spaces, a pilot project focusing on providing DV survivors with RRH years before DV bonus funding was available on a large scale. Leveraging this pilot project and strong partnerships, the CoC has successfully applied for and been awarded approximately $1.5 million in DV Bonus funding, as well as standing up a DV Non-congregate shelter during the height of the CV pandemic in NE FL. We could not do this work without strong partnerships! Through these relationships, we are also working with the Florida Department of Children & Families and Domestic Violence Program staff regarding a new pilot program – Domestic Violence Housing First (DVHF). We value our DV partners and continue to evaluate community needs collectively and establish opportunities to serve our DV survivor neighbors better.

2. Throughout the year, FL-510 covers relevant DV information at the monthly General Membership meetings, bi-monthly Board meetings, Committee meetings, and during Learning Collaboratives (RRH and Coordinated Entry), 100-Day Challenges, and via regular monthly newsletter communication. In late 2019, we requested DV Technical Assistance and worked with a TA until March 2020, when the pandemic impacted priorities. We are in the process of requesting a TA so that we can review the policies and procedures implemented and align these activities with the in-process Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative.
1C-5a. **Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.**

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:

1. project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and

2. Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. FL-510's Quality Assurance team's Monitoring and Training Specialist (an employee of the CA), in partnership with Hubbard House, our locally certified shelter, conducts regular, annual training (and as needed) at a General Membership Meeting covering topics such as general violence stats, warning signs, how to help survivors and the process for connecting with victim services providers. The training attendees represent 40+ agencies such as local and state gov., healthcare, service providers, local school system, mental health agencies, etc. Currently, we are developing a more intensive training program to outline the new parallel CES. In these future training classes, we will socialize the Domestic Violence Provider Protocols to articulate privacy and safety procedures, staff roles, and work with housing providers. This training will also include the updated Non-DV Provider Protocols, which define data requirements, client choice, and warm hand-offs. Regardless of which CES (DV or Non-DV), we train all staff on the complex dynamics of DV, trauma-informed care, privacy and confidentiality, safety planning, and how to handle emergencies.

2. Mental Health Resource Center (CES lead) conducts regular, annual training, including VI-SPDAT, HMIS, Confidentiality, Cultural Awareness, Cultural Diversity, Motivational Interviewing, and Trauma-Informed Care. Our Coordinated Entry guidelines direct staff to ask specific DV questions for participant safety, considering dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking with client choice an integral part of the housing and safety planning of participants. Additionally, our community is currently midway through a Yearlong Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative where all processes are being evaluated and updated to ensure it is person-centric and trauma-informed. Once finalized, our CE will have an online toolkit to support front-line staff on demand and across the CoC.

1C-5b. **Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.**

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below:

1. the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and

2. how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.
The data FL-510 uses the following sources to assess/address the community’s need for domestic violence resources.

1) FL-510’s 2017-2021 Annual PIT data
2) FL-510’s 2017-2021 Housing Inventory Count, DV Beds
3) 2017/2018, 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 DV shelter data provided by the Hubbard House, Quigley House, and Micah’s Place and
4) Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE), Uniform Crime Reports (UCR), Domestic Violence (DV), and Total Reported, Domestic Violence Offenses by County, 2017–2020

2) Housing Inventory Count DV Beds 2021: 185, 2020: 185, 2019: 180, 2018: 180, 2017: 175,
3) Local DV Shelters (comparable databases):
   • Hubbard House
     o 2017/2018-5,000 DV clients, sheltered 925 survivors, turned away 514 people.
   • Quigley House
     o 2017/2018- 417 DV clients and 60 sexual assault clients, sheltered 269 survivors and did not turn anyone away this year
     o 2018/2019-511 DV clients and 69 sexual assault clients, sheltered 287 survivors, however since July, the shelter has been operating at capacity.
     o 2019/2020-2,225 DV clients and 80 sexual assault clients sheltered 233 survivors and did not turn any away.
   • Micah’s Place
     o 2019/2020-1,023 DV clients, sheltered 175 survivors, no one turned away
4) FDLE, UCR, DV, Total Reported, DV Offenses by County, 1992 – 2020 Totals for Duval, Clay, and Nassau Counties
   • 2020: 8731+867+275=9873
   • 2019: 8076+850+319=9244
   • 2018: 7105+835+344=8284

2. The data FL-510 uses to assess community need for domestic violence has helped to inform our decision to pursue the first DV pilot project in 2015 and continues to inform the CoCs decision to submit the first DV Bonus RRH project in 2018 and two additional DV Bonus RRH projects in 2019 and 2021 respectively. This funding and the updated data support our need/request for a DV Coordinated Entry project.
2. The process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. In 2020 FL-510 revised the Coordinated Entry Policies and Procedures to include more specific guidance on working with all individuals seeking assistance but may be in unsafe situations (Domestic, Dating, Sexual, and Stalking) and how best to protect their safety through trauma-informed victim-centered services (participants and staff). Safe Spaces, a DV RRH pilot project with Hubbard House, the only certified domestic violence shelter in Duval County, helped establish CoC-wide protocols and prepare us for larger-scale projects, including the empowerment model, which advocates for client choice and is a best practice. DV providers manage a CES that mirrors some aspects of the traditional and current CES but with safety protocols mandated by OVW. The non-DV providers utilize the current system but have revised protocols to include locked programs, warm handoffs to DV providers, and emergency transfer procedures. The CoC also received HUD TA early in 2020.

2. In 2018, FL-510 approved an Emergency Transfer Plan (published on our website) based on HUD guidance and Form 5381. By the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), CoC-funded housing programs must allow tenants who are victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking to request an emergency transfer from the tenant’s current unit to another unit. The Emergency Transfer Plan is at the local, state, and federal levels to ensure safety and trauma-informed and victim-centered services. The Emergency Transfer Plan also includes two attachments – Form 5383, Emergency Transfer Request for Certain Victims of Domestic Violence, etc., and Form 5382, Certification of Domestic Violence, etc. Currently, we ensure confidentiality through policy guidance and staff training and locked non-DV provider HMIS programs, and separate DV provider databases.

1C-5d. Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC’s geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)
FL-510 provides the necessary access to all survivors of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking because of the several vitally important elements – our community has received three DV Bonus funding awards, we are working through a Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative and making updates/creating valuable resources to ensure easy access and the Northeast Florida community has a rich history of great partnerships and leaning into problem-solving. In terms of DV Bonus funding awards, Hubbard House, the DV provider in the City of Jacksonville, has received two grants – one that focuses on intimate partner violence and another that addresses the expanded definition. Changing Homelessness, in partnership with Sulzbacher, one of the largest shelter providers and an FQHC provider, received DV bonus funding for the expanded definition as well. Additionally, we have the DV pilot project, Safe Spaces, which we implemented in 2015. As part of the CELC, we are establishing a toolkit that will be accessible for all via the CA website and creating updated training modules so that all new staff will have easy access to learning and tools during day-to-day activities. The CELC resources will provide assurance that we all understand the processes with the ultimate goal of person-centered and trauma-informed care. Partnerships and working collaboration are the mantras of NE Florida! We not only believe it, but we also act and deliver services according to those refrains.

1C-5e.
Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:

1. safety protocols,
2. planning protocols, and
3. confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. In 2020, FL-510 revised the Coordinated Entry Policy and Procedures to include more specific guidance on working with individuals in unsafe situations (Domestic, Dating, Sexual, and Stalking) and how best to protect the safety through trauma-informed victim-centered services (participants and staff). Safe Spaces, a DV RRH pilot project with Hubbard House, the only certified domestic violence shelter in Duval County, helped establish CoC-wide protocols and prepare us for larger-scale projects, including the empowerment model, which advocates for client choice and is a best practice. DV providers manage a CES that mirrors some aspects of the traditional and current CES but with safety protocols mandated by OVW. The non-DV providers utilize the current system but have revised protocols to include locked programs, warm handoffs to DV providers, and emergency transfer procedures. The CoC submitted and began receiving HUD TA in early 2020, which was postponed due to the pandemic. Given that day-to-day procedures and processes are returning to a reasonable state of normalcy, we have submitted a new HUD TA request that will be integrated within the last quarter of the Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative.

2. In 2018, FL-510 approved an Emergency Transfer Plan (published on our website) based on HUD guidance and Form 5381. By the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), CoC-funded housing programs must allow tenants who are victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking to request an emergency transfer from the tenant’s current unit to another unit. The Emergency Transfer Plan is at the local, state, and federal levels to ensure safety and trauma-informed and victim-centered services. The Emergency Transfer Plan also includes two attachments – Form 5383, Emergency Transfer Request for Certain Victims of Domestic Violence, etc., and Form 5382, Certification of Domestic Violence, etc.

3. Currently, we ensure confidentiality through policy guidance and staff training and locked non-DV provider HMIS programs, and separate DV provider databases.

1C-6. Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+—Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.

1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination? Yes

2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)? Yes

3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual’s Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)? Yes


NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.

Describe in the field below:

1. whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Currently, all policies and procedures are under review. FL-510 takes great care and is concerned with stakeholder feedback, ensuring that our guiding principles align with community will and the law.

2. In 2018, the FL-510 Governance Board approved an Anti-Discrimination Policy (published on the Changing Homelessness website) to ensure that all individuals have equal access to fair housing and guidance on addressing compliance. The procedure is based on policies enacted by HUD, the State of Florida, and the City of Jacksonville/Duval County. In general, the CoC and its members do not limit accessibility to eligible persons; when and if there is an inconsistent matter of process - we communicate with the appropriate jurisdiction and ensure we inform all program participants-clients of their rights and the remedies under the law.

3. To evaluate compliance, we review program-level anti-discrimination policies to ensure they meet the standards as defined by law.

4. To reasonably address non-compliance, we host Discrimination training at the General Membership meeting, which details the federally protected categories and how to recognize discrimination. As a follow-up to this training, we socialize various trainings facilitated by other local experts such as the City of Jacksonville’s Human Rights Commission.

**Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC’s Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.**

**NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.**

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC’s geographic area, provide information on the one:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Housing Agency Name</th>
<th>Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry</th>
<th>Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?</th>
<th>Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville Public Housing Authority</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau County Housing Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.**

**NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.**

Describe in the field below:
1. Jacksonville Housing Authority (JHA): While JHA reported 17% of new admissions for FY2021, thus far in 2022, they are reporting 28.9%! While there is no homeless preference, program participants must meet HUD’s eligibility requirements, AND JHA is considering adding a General preference for people experiencing homelessness in FY2024. Cathy Ponder Hunt, Director of Housing Assistance at JHA regularly attends the CoC Governance Board meetings and the monthly General Membership meetings. Since April 2021, the CoC has worked closely with JHA on the Emergency Housing Voucher project, meeting regularly to establish the program guidance, process, and implementation. Over the past year, 89 EHVVs have been issued as a result of the ongoing partnership with a recently established MOU to deploy a dedicated EHV Case Manager, as documented by a recent MOU. While Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHVs) are not a general homeless preference, it has strengthened the relationship and continues to move us closer to a community-wide preference. And EHVVs do involve homelessness as three of the four categories by which a family may be determined eligible. Under the EHV program, eligible families are referred to JHA by partners within our Continuum of Care. Eligibility requires a family to meet one of the following four criteria: (a) Homeless, (b) At the risk of homelessness, (c) Fleeing or attempting to flee, domestic violence dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking, or (d) Recently homeless and for who providing rental assistance will prevent the family’s homelessness or having a high risk of housing instability.

Fernandina Beach Housing Authority (Nassau County): Commission recommended including a homeless admission preference.

2. NA.

---

1C-7b. Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.

Not Scored—For Information Only

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC’s jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

| 1. Multifamily assisted housing owners | Yes |
| 2. PHA | Yes |
| 3. Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments | Yes |
| 4. Local low-income housing programs | Yes |
| Other (limit 150 characters) |

5.
1C-7c. Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC’s Coordinated Entry.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC’s coordinated entry process:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Coordinated Entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Family Unification Program (FUP)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mainstream Vouchers</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Public Housing</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Other Units from PHAs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1C-7d. Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.

1. Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?

   Yes

   Program Funding Source

   EHV, FUP

1C-7e. Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).

NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.

Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?

Yes

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.

Not Scored–For Information Only
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.

**PHA**

Jacksonville Hous...
1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Jacksonville Housing Authority
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont’d

1D-1. Discharge Planning Coordination.
NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Care System</th>
<th>Coordination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foster Care</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Care</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctional Facilities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1D-2. Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.
NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.

1. Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.

   19

2. Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.

   19

3. This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.

   100%

1D-2a. Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.
NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.

Describe in the field below:

1. how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;

2. the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and

3. how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. Each year, FL-510 project applicants are required to complete a Housing First Questionnaire (HFQ), which asks about eligibility criteria and program rules for project entry. The HFQ is designed to ensure that people experiencing homelessness are connected to permanent housing quickly regardless of preconditions, behavior, or other barriers. The USICH Housing First Checklist is also used during training and when conducting monitoring to ensure that providers understand Housing First.

2. The CoC added HUD’s Housing First Assessment Tool to be used during monitoring. The list of factors are “Access & Input, Leases, Services & Housing, Project Specific culminating in a Report Summary that gauges Housing First based on a possible max score of 204. Each question within each category measures “what’s being said, documented, and done.”

3. Outside of the competition to ensure HF, the Northeast Florida CoC Written Standards are the guiding principles and state the following: Irrespective of the program type, all HUD and ESG-funded programs are required to utilize a housing-first approach to housing assistance. Through project monitoring, we evaluate housing assistance to ensure it does not have service participation requirements or preconditions (such as sobriety or a minimum income threshold). Emergency Shelter, Transitional housing, and supportive service only projects may be considered to be using a housing first model if they operate with low barriers, work to move people into permanent housing quickly, do not require participation in supportive services, and, for transitional housing projects, do not require any preconditions for moving into the transitional housing.

1D-3. Street Outreach–Scope.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.

Describe in the field below:

1. your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;

2. whether your CoC’s Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC’s geographic area;

3. how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and

4. how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. FL-510’s primary goal is to identify, by name, every unsheltered person experiencing homelessness and to link them to services. Outreach workers take time to build trust by regularly connecting and engaging with people in the community. Over time and when interested or if other support services are needed, the Outreach workers direct people to the Urban Rest Stop, one of the CE’s primary points of entry for housing and other support services (Dental, medical, ER shelter). In March 2020, outreach was modified to respond to the pandemic, which included the formation of a dedicated COVID-19 Shelter Task Force (a Mayor's office, homeless providers, hospitals, and community stakeholder collaborative) and a CV protocol to help support people experiencing homelessness. Enhanced services included COVID (CV) screening, testing, and vaccination support.

2. FL-510’s street outreach covers 100% of Northeast Florida, including Duval, Clay, and Nassau counties. FL-510 utilizes several Outreach teams— an ESG-CV Team, Hope Team, and Beaches Hope Team; the Hope Teams include medical outreach specialists), SSVF Outreach (Veterans), in partnership with the VA, JASYMN (youth and young adult team) and QUEST to canvass and support our coverage area.

3. The various Outreach teams listed conduct outreach regularly throughout Northeast Florida.

4. In 2020/21, we established an ESG-CV Outreach team to focus on the unique needs in response to CV-19. The Outreach teams consist of at least 2 persons and tailor their approach to meeting people and building trust. We also strive to reflect the people we work with through lived experience, race, ethnicity, age, and Veteran status. Focusing on relationships, our outreach teams provide essential survival items (blankets, gloves, socks, personal care items, etc.), helping people navigate and link to services – we work to overcome connection barriers. Currently, under development is an Urban Rest Stop transportation bus that will travel to multiple locations throughout the urban core to provide connections to local shelters, the VA, etc. This transportation resource is expected to be online soon and did a test run in early summer. Also under development is a Social Services bus which will include multiple resource providers and be deployed to do outreach throughout Northeast Florida and especially in identified hot spots.

1D-4. Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.

| NOFO Section VII.B.1.k. |

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC’s geographic area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized</th>
<th>Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Engaged/educated local policymakers</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Engaged/educated law enforcement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Engaged/educated local business leaders</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Implemented community wide plans</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Other:(limit 500 characters)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1D-5. Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).

NOFO Section VII.B.1.l.

Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC–only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of “Current.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1D-6. Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC’s geographic area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>CoC Provides Annual Training?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Food Stamps</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SSI–Supplemental Security Income</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Substance Abuse Programs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Employment Assistance Programs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Other (limit 150 characters)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1D-6a. Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.

NOFO Section VII.B.1.m

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1. systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC’s geographic area;

2. works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and

3. works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. FL-510 follows a regular activity calendar to include monthly calls for information, inviting the community of stakeholders and service providers to share mainstream resources/training opportunities. Past examples are Lutheran Services Florida SSI, SSDI, Outreach, Access and Referral (SOAR) model training, and Family Support Services Breakfast Series, a monthly networking and learning event, Salvation Army’s Holiday event, IRS stimulus funding, DOH access to vaccinations, and Jacksonville Area Legal Aid Eviction assistance. The CoC disseminates mainstream benefit program updates and announcements at the monthly membership meeting (typically, 40+ agencies are in attendance) via published meeting minutes, presentations on the website, monthly newsletter, email campaigns, and throughout the month as part of a planned social media strategy.

2. The CoC works with projects to ensure case managers and client support systems are trained and prepared to assist clients with their healthcare needs. At the CoC monthly meetings, healthcare organizations (WeCareJax, Health Planning Council of Northeast Florida, etc.) attend regularly and provide updated and relevant information.

Through the COVID-19 Shelter Task Force, we partnered with healthcare organizations to assist/enroll non-congregate shelter participants. The Urban Rest Stop, a partnership of the Sulzbacher Center, the City of Jacksonville, and Mental Health Resource Center, offer a location for people experiencing homelessness to seek assistance. Services include case management, showers, meals, healthcare, mailboxes, shelter placement, job referrals, etc. The Sulzbacher Center, one of the CoC service providers, operates Federally Qualified Healthcare Clinics, providing a safety net for medically underserved populations. Sulzbacher Village Pediatric Health Center offers primary care, dental, vision, and behavioral health services. Through partnerships with local hospitals, Sulzbacher offers a medical respite program where patients are screened and admitted through direct discharge planning.

3. At a monthly CoC meeting, one of the CoC Governance Board members provided an overview of SOAR with CA staff providing additional information about SAMHSA’s SOAR online course link, estimated 20 hours to complete, timeframe for completion, and that a Certificate of Completion includes 20 CEUs from the National Association of Social Workers (NASW).


NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)
COVID-19 taught FL-510 that immediate shelter is critical for people experiencing unsheltered homelessness into permanent housing. As a community resource, we understand that the ability to offer non-congregate shelter accelerated connections to mainstream benefits, self-resolution, and housing. Over the course of the CV pandemic, we served over 1,000 people in the non-congregate shelters, providing a valuable resource that helped prevent the spread of infectious diseases and protected people highly vulnerable individuals from infectious diseases.

To maintain this critical resource, the CoC plans to utilize Emergency Food and Shelter Program funding to add additional non-congregate resources. We have also submitted an “invitation only” application to a national foundation that will incorporate a number of time-limited rooms to create a housing-focused non-congregate shelter to ensure no one is left on the streets. We will leverage other available resources, so this intervention does not overwhelm the program and is positive for the clients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID-8.</th>
<th>Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:**

1. develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2. prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1. To develop CoC-wide policies and procedures in response to infectious diseases, FL-510 would rely on the strategy developed during our response to COVID-19, which included the Mayor/Office of Strategic Partnerships activated members of the Mayor’s Downtown Homelessness Taskforce and the Shelter Task Force.
2. The Task Force, still in effect and meets monthly, includes members from the Mayor’s office, Department of Health, Hospitals, Sheriff’s Office, Emergency Management, Homeless providers (DV and non-DV), Coordinated Entry, and community stakeholders. By hosting regular conference calls/Zoom meetings, this team of community leaders met to discuss a proactive plan in response to COVID-19. The Shelter Task Force designed and implemented the COVID-19 Shelter protocol response model, ensuring approval and coordination from all committed partners, especially those on the front line of the pandemic, such as our hospitals and emergency responders. This protocol is structured to be used in response to future viral crises and can be replicated in any community. The protocol has been shared nationally on COVID-19 crisis response calls and webinars as well as on the #1 Health Podcast on Apple, Epidemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID-8a.</th>
<th>Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:**

1. sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and
facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. To prevent or limit the outbreak of infectious diseases, FL-510 would follow the communication strategy established during the CV-19 pandemic. At the onset, the CoC created a web page to host all community, state, and federal CV-19 information related to the pandemic/homelessness/safety measures. Examples of information shared included FL-510’s CV-19 Shelter Protocol, Shelter Palm Cards for Clients, CV-19 Testing and Vaccination information; Urban Rest Stop Operations (including testing/vaccinations), Application for CV-19 Emergency Rental Assistance; and the CV-19 Screening Referral Form. These examples, plus other safety communications, were disseminated at monthly General Membership meetings, via social media, the monthly newsletter and the briefing. All General Membership meeting information (agendas, minutes, and presentations) is available on the website.

2. To ensure street outreach, shelter, and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit the spread of infectious diseases, FL-510 would work closely with our mainstream health providers as we did during the onset of CV-19. The Department of Health, an active CV-19 Shelter Task Force member, provided regular updates and supported homeless providers, including test kits, vaccinations, etc. Our local hospitals, UF Health, Baptist Health, Mayo, and Ascension St Vincent's, are also vital members of the Shelter Task Force and played a fundamental role in designing and implementing the Shelter Protocol. Through a critical partnership with the national nonprofit Community Solutions, FL-510 was chosen by Quest Diagnostics as one of two cities in the country to test people experiencing homelessness. Sulzbacher, one of the city’s largest providers, and FQHC coordinated with UF Health to administer the tests at every shelter. 100% of the individuals tested, tested negative for COVID-19. In June 2020, Changing Homelessness and the Mayor’s Taskforce on Homelessness won the Nonprofit Center of Northeast Florida’s 2020 Local Focus. Lasting Impact™ Collective Power award for their collaborative efforts to screen nearly 700 homeless Jacksonville residents for COVID-19.


NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.

Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:

1. covers 100 percent of your CoC’s geographic area;

2. uses a standardized assessment process; and

3. is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. FL-510 comprises Duval, Nassau, and Clay counties located in Northeast Florida and spanning over 2,286 square miles of diverse geographic and demographic landscape. To cover the vast region, we utilize a Coordinated Entry System with multiple access points, navigators, and a web-based tool so that all community segments can connect with and access housing and support services.

2. In 2020, we updated our priorities to include CV-19 health vulnerabilities in addition to our standard prioritization. Vulnerability Index-Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool determines initial acuity and for housing triage, prioritization, and housing placement. At weekly By-Name List meetings, partners decide which clients should enter the available housing (RRH and PSH) by acuity and HUD priorities. Prioritization on the By-Name List and Coordinated Entry combines the VISPDAT score and the length-of-time homeless. Coordinated Entry ranks and refers to existing programs, and the By-Name List ensures that the client has what is needed to get into the program and obtain housing.

3. Strategic partnerships help to ensure a timely response. In practical terms, this includes regular CV-19 Shelter Task Force and By-Name List meetings to understand in real-time how the CoC needs to adjust plans. In July 2021, in response to the Delta variant, we had to act quickly to establish a non-congregate CV-positive hotel to assist our CV + homeless individuals stable enough to be released from hospitals but not in a position to enter a shelter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1D-9a.</th>
<th>Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry system:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>prioritizes people most in need of assistance;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. To ensure FL-510 reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance, we are continuously evaluating where people are experiencing unsheltered homelessness by checking in regularly with our Outreach teams. For example, recently, the Hope team, which canvasses the beaches area, noticed a shift in people that were unhoused away from the beaches to just west across the Intercostal waterway. While there are several potential reasons, we are evaluating our 2019 and 2020 unsheltered data by locations to determine if the migration began a few years back. We will also factor this into our 2023 Unsheltered Point-In-Time. Once validated, deploy teams to these newly identified areas.

2. Weekly Prioritization meetings are hosted to examine the “Priority List,” which includes all people experiencing homelessness. During this meeting, agencies meet to review the list and consider several factors, including the assessment scores (SPDAT). These evaluations are meant to ensure that people who are the most vulnerable will be prioritized.

3. Recently, we conducted a Housing Provider (Program Inventory) survey to obtain updated program descriptions and eligibility requirements. This information will lead to improved referrals (few errors and inaccurate referrals), less trauma for people engaging in the CE process, and improved ability to understand and assess program eligibility and ensure requirements for Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Re-Housing are met. This will highlight the strengths and gaps of the Housing First approach as a community.

4. After reviewing our assessment process during our Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative kick-off meeting in February 2022, FL-510 determined that we had far too many steps/questions in our process. To best prioritize people needing assistance, we revised our triage questions to four primary questions.

---

### 1D-10. Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.

**NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.**

| 1. Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years? | Yes |
| 2. Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities. | 03/14/2022 |


**NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.**

**Describe in the field below:**

1. your CoC’s process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and

2. what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.
1. As part of an analysis of the existence of racial and ethnic disparities in the CoC system of care, FL-510 requested that Focus Strategies (FS) assess the relationship between client demographic characteristics, access to, experience in, and outcome of the Coordinated Entry System (CES). FS investigated potential disparities in enrollment in CES, assessment scores, and time between enrollment and exit. Where disparities existed, they explored the factors associated with racial and ethnic differences. Separate analyses were carried out for three subpopulations – adult-only households in which the head of the household was 25 years of age or older, households with minor children, and adult-only households headed by someone under age 25 (referred to as a transition age youth (TAY) households).

2. An examination of characteristics associated with these disparities yielded mixed findings. In general, Black clients were less likely than white clients to have a prior living situation of literal homelessness (specifically, ES and in some cases unsheltered) and more likely to have a prior living situation of family/friends. FS also found evidence that the presence of a disability (and in some cases, the number of conditions/disabilities) was associated with race, with Black clients generally being less likely than white clients to report a disablimg condition and fewer conditions/disabilities in aggregate. In general, findings on the presence of ethnic disparities in CES were mixed. FS noted that Hispanic/Latino households were underrepresented relative to people in households with incomes less than 125% FPL. For Adult-Only and Family households, ethnic differences in assessment scores were negligible, while Hispanic/Latino TAY scored higher on average than non-Hispanic/non-Latino TAY (though findings are tempered by the small number of Hispanic/Latino TAY (n=7)). In terms of outcomes, there was suggestive evidence that Hispanic/Latino Adult-Only and Family households were more likely to exit to permanent housing than non-Hispanic/non-Latino households.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1D-10b. Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.</th>
<th>NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1. The CoC’s board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC. | Yes |
| 2. The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC. | Yes |
| 3. The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups. | Yes |
| 4. The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups. | Yes |
| 5. The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness. | Yes |
| 6. The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector. | Yes |
| 7. The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness. | Yes |
| 8. The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity. | Yes |
9. The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness. Yes

10. The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system. Yes

11. The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness. Yes

Other: (limit 500 characters)

12.

**1D-10c. Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.**

**NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.**

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

FL-510 is currently considering the recommendations as a result of the Focus Strategies; Coordinated Entry System Disparities Analysis completed on 3/14/2022. We will work collaboratively to implement the suggested next steps and, during implementation, will create the framework for analyzing the results. Action items include:

- Undertake a qualitative analysis of CES involving people with lived experience. Although the current analysis illuminates the existence and extent of racial disparities, it does not provide insight into the underlying causes. A qualitative analysis of CES involving a broad range of stakeholders can help fill in these gaps as well as suggest specific areas for change and/or improvement.

- Consider adding factors to the CES prioritization process that are correlated with vulnerability and compensate for disparities in average assessment scores. Identifying these factors is dependent on local conditions, but one common measure included in many communities is geography (e.g., weighting by zip code or census tract for areas that are economically/socially distressed).

- Consider developing/targeting homelessness prevention resources to communities of color where residents are likely to experience housing instability.

In early 2022, FL-510 began a yearlong Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative. One of the action items to be completed (June to December 2022) is a CE Toolkit that will be inward and outward-facing – with resources such as onboarding support, community knowledge sharing, and CE documents for easy access and usage. The CE Toolkit would also include an online accessible training program.

**1D-10d. Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.**

**NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.**

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.
To track progress, FL-510 will mirror the assessment categories evaluated by Focus Strategies (FS), which includes Data of unique heads of households enrolled in CES. To ensure data integrity, we are ramping up data quality training and reporting across the community system of care.

Early next spring and following the Point-In-time, we will work with FS and the HMIS Data team to examine the updated data for disparities in Assessment scores for each subpopulation and, where disparities are apparent, explore possible correlates. As in the most recent review, data were segmented by specific subpopulations (Adult-Only Households, Households with Minor Children (Family Households), and Transition Age Youth (TAY) as well as by HoH race and ethnicity. In addition, we will observe the probability of obtaining a score associated with rapid rehousing (RRH) or permanent supportive housing (PSH) by race and ethnicity.

Beyond the FS CE Analysis, we are in process of a yearlong Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative, which will review the findings and use the data to develop training modules that the CoC can disseminate to stakeholders/CoC programs. Within the CELC are people with lived experience so that all CE updates, enhancements, and actions are informed by them.

In terms of communication/outreach efforts, FL510 works with a Consumer Advisory/Advocacy committee to help define and inform our communication strategy. The words we use are powerful tools, and we must ensure that we do not minimize or re-traumatize people as we encourage participation. It is with great consideration we are shifting our visuals and content to be more inspiring and approachable.

Our outreach efforts are further refined by consistently employing people with lived experience at all staff levels. In fact, the CA employs experts in homelessness/lived experience from across the organization (c-suite, director, managers/team leads, etc.) One of the CA c-suite staff members is responsible for helping manage the CoC work, actually contributing to gathering the information to populate this application, and is responsible for drafting this and most of the answers written within it. We must give great care to the words we use – they are powerful. They can create, and they can destroy. We are focused on creating opportunities that help solve homelessness.
### 1D-11a. Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.

**NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.**

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Active Participation</th>
<th>Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant</th>
<th>Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Included in the development or revision of your CoC’s local competition rating factors.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1D-11b. Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.

**NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.**

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

*(limit 2,500 characters)*
FL-510 has numerous professional development and employment opportunities - CareerSource, Goodwill of Northeast Florida, and Catholic Charities for career support, job training, job readiness, and job placement. Further, agencies partner with Florida State College of Jacksonville for high school diplomas and equivalences, job training, technical certificates, and college. The City of Jacksonville's (COJ), Military and Veterans Affairs Homeless Veteran Reintegration Program (HVRP) coordinates with the local veterans’ services programs and organizations (CareerSource Disabled Veterans’ Outreach Program, the Local Veterans’ Employment Representatives). COJ’s Military and Veterans Affairs Department hosts the annual Stand Down, a 3-day event consisting of a job prep day (resumes, etc.), job fair, and Homeless Veterans Stand Down. Specific providers offer additional resources as well. Clara White Mission provides employment placement and job training, with three courses: culinary, janitorial, and OSHA training. City Rescue Mission offers a LifeBuilders Addiction Recovery program (which includes counseling, education, life skills development, work readiness, and case management). Trinity Rescue Mission offers Trinity Works which includes recycling and salvage, thrift sales, van and delivery driving, livestock care and agriculture.

For example, the Goodwill Job Junction, located at the Urban Rest Stop, opened in 2019 and helps people prepare for the job search and the skills needed to be successful. The types of support include soft skills workshops, computer usage for job search and resume prep, interviews, and career fairs. Over the past year, over 150 people were placed in jobs. And at the Goodwill located at the Villages (a development designed especially for women and children), over 40 residents were placed in jobs.

| 1D-11c. Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. |
| NOFO Section VII.B.1.r. |
| Describe in the field below how your CoC: |
| 1. how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and |
| 2. the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness |

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. FL-510 gathers feedback from all points of the system, from entry to exit and beyond. Most often, feedback gathering begins at first interaction – through an Outreach team, the Urban Rest Stop, or while people experiencing homelessness are working with case managers. Our community has also received feedback during Needs Assessments, Point-In-Time surveys, and Summer Surges. At the program level, providers use survey tools and focus groups to garner advice on what works and what does not work within their programs. Our community approaches feedback from a macro to a micro level, understanding that there is some we can gain from it.

2. In 2019, the Governance Board established the Consumer Advisory Committee, which is led by three CA staff members that have experienced homelessness. One of the most common recommendations for seeking input – is to be compensated for expertise, which we wholeheartedly agree with. Having received this vital input over the course of the Youth/Young Adult Action Board and various focus groups, the CoC submitted a funding request to the Community Foundation of Northeast Florida. We received the award and will use a portion of these funds to pay experts with lived experience participating on the Consumer Advisory Committee. Other systems informed by people with lived experience include establishing the Urban Rest Stop – which provides a centralized location for mail, showers, storage, and CE access. Our most recent effort includes reframing the Consumer Advisory/Advocacy Committee. Over the last six months, we are working with two staff members with lived experience to help review our engagement and recruitment strategy for the CA Committee, ensuring our communications are person-centered and not re-traumatizing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1D-12</th>
<th>Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:

1. reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. While there has not been specific action to address zoning and land use policies, FL-510 has worked closely with Community Solutions as one of the first large cities to work toward ending Veteran Homelessness. While we have not achieved this goal yet, we were on the precipice right before the CV pandemic and continue to work diligently to obtain this goal. In May of 2022, in support of our efforts, Community Solutions, a nonprofit dedicated to ending homelessness, announced the acquisition of two properties in Jacksonville, Florida, to accelerate community efforts to reduce veteran homelessness. The acquisition marks the first step in a broader initiative to leverage a social impact financing model to introduce additional real estate assets within certain communities participating in Community Solutions' Built for Zero initiative. After purchasing the first property, they soon announced a second apartment complex.

2. Additionally, Northeast Florida is determined to address issues that impair/impact the quality of life. In August, the City Council President issued a memo with plans to recommend the re-establishment of the Special Committee on Parks and Quality of Life. And like the budget for 2020/2021 ($50 million), funding would be available to address three key areas – homelessness, healthcare, and affordable housing. Dawn Gilman, the CEO of the CA, is the subject matter expert for one of the committees and will work closely with the City Council and stakeholders throughout our community to ensure that opportunities exist to improve these very important quality-of-life indicators - homelessness, healthcare, and affordable housing.


1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions


NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.

You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

| Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition. | 08/02/2022 |

1E-2. Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.

NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Established total points available for each project application type.</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FY2022 CoC Application Page 40 09/26/2022
1E-2a. **Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC’s Local Competition.** We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2, along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC’s eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.

**NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.**

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC’s local competition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. What renewal project type did most applicants use?</td>
<td>PH-RRH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1E-2b. **Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.**

**NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.**

Describe in the field below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. During the application process, all applicants provide the following – Agency financial information, program level info – policies and procedures, APRs or data from a comparable database, and data quality reports. Additionally, the CA pulls CoC participation info, and the HMIS Lead populates the score sheets with the relative APR and data quality results.

2. On the scoresheet under Section F, we evaluate the length of time from referral to project intake to determine how long it takes to house people. Since we are undergoing a reboot of our CES, this question is a test question for 2022.

3. FL-510 used objective criteria to review, rate, rank, and select projects for funding. These criteria included population type (especially where there were no current projects to support these populations -such as domestic violence and youth), performance data, and project cost-effectiveness. The specific vulnerabilities that FL-510 considered in project ranking and scoring included the chronically homeless, low or no income, and projects specific to special populations,' i.e., domestic violence and youth.

4. Initially, the CoC Governance Board/Ranking and Scoring Task Force met and determined the scoring criteria and special prioritization based on community needs and funding availability. Once measures and special populations are identified, the CA and HMIS Lead pull any data needed to score the applications and complete the applicant score sheets. The Ranking and Scoring Committee (RSC) and the Scoring/Review Appeal Committee (SRAC) are selected from the general membership and other stakeholders committed to ending homelessness. The individuals chosen represent the community to most adequately address the severity of needs and vulnerabilities. The RSC is brought together to meet for orientation, training, and a general process overview. The RSC determines priority applications to address and places each application into a group, if necessary (for example, priority for populations, geographic areas, types of programs, etc.). The RSC Committee ranks all applications in order of scoring and priority. The RSC Committee discusses the scoring and ranking to determine if any proposals are out of order based on community needs. The discussion concludes, and the Committee records any changes to individual scoring based on the review. Ultimately, the RSC makes the best decisions based on community needs and available funding.

| NOFO Section VII.B.2.e. |

Describe in the field below:

1. how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;

2. how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;

3. how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and

4. how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the locally homeless population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.
1. FL-510 strives to have representation across the CoC, starting with the CoC Governance Board, CoC Committees, Sub-Committees (such as the Consumer Advisory/Advocacy), and the CA. The methodology we use to promote racial equity in the local competition process starts with our communication/outreach efforts. After input updates from the Ranking and Scoring Task Force, the New Bonus and Renewal Project Score Sheets were socialized with the CoC Governance Board, which is representative of the persons we serve, including those over-represented in the local population of people experiencing homelessness. The Governance Board reviews the collection of CoC application materials to ensure a fair and equitable process.

2. Persons of different races are helping us define which questions we should be asking to ensure equity.

3. All committees that support the application effort, including the Ranking and Scoring Committees, are solicited through multiple communication methods to reach a broad array of stakeholders/volunteers from the community. The people involved in the local review, ranking, and selection process represent various races, including People of Color, genders, abilities, types of stakeholders, and individuals with multiple levels of CoC experience.

4. As recommended by the 2021 Ranking and Scoring Task Force, questions specific to racial equity were included in both the New Bonus and Renewal Project Score Sheets as Section D: Serving Priority Populations, the applicant was scored based on their ability to identify barriers to participation faced by individuals of different races and ethnicities, especially those over-represented and include description around the process taken or planned steps to eliminate barriers.

1E-4. Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.

Describe in the field below:

1. your CoC’s reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;

2. whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;

3. whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and

4. why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. FL-510’s written process for reallocation defines that each CoC competitively funded program will receive an evaluation derived from performance-based outcomes. Objective criteria will be utilized, including HMIS Data Quality, the HUD Annual Performance Report (APR), and the application submitted with the COC proposal. Positive results must be directly related to goals designated by the HEARTH Act, including reducing the length of homelessness, homeless recidivism, and increased income. As part of the applicant evaluation process, program outcomes will be collected and measured consistent with community-based standards.

2. No, the CoC did not identify any projects for reallocation during the local competition year.

3. No, the CoC did not reallocate any projects this competition year.

4. While there were no projects selected for reallocation this year, there were two projects reallocated in 2018. The evaluation process included review by objective scoring criteria, such as APR reporting, HMIS quality, performance measures (such as measuring returns to homelessness, etc.), and funding spend down. If a project scored significantly low in these areas, it would be deemed low-performing and subject to reallocation. The selection for reallocation is recommended by the Ranking and Scoring Committee and approved by the CoC Governance Board.

---

**1E-4a. Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.**

NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**1E-5. Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.**

NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.

You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps.</strong></td>
<td>09/15/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**1E-5a. Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.**

NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.

You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/15/2022</td>
<td>Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/28/2022</td>
<td>Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/28/2022</td>
<td>Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC’s website or partner’s website.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1. HMIS Vendor.

Not Scored–For Information Only

Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.

ClientTrack

2A-2. HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.

Not Scored–For Information Only

Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.

Single CoC

2A-3. HIC Data Submission in HDX.

NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.

Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.

04/25/2022


NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.

In the field below:

1. describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD’s comparable database requirements; and

2. state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.
Currently, FL-510 manages three DV Bonus programs. Hubbard House, a certified DV shelter, is the grantee for FL-510’s first DV Bonus program for an RRH project. Hubbard House utilizes a comparable database with the ability to provide the required data points. Changing Homelessness is the grantee for FL-510’s 2nd DV Bonus program with Sulzbacher, our community’s largest shelter (and not a DV-provider), as the sub-grantee. This project is locked down in HMIS and meets all data requirements.

Our HMIS team works closely with Hubbard House to ensure that all the necessary data elements comply with the Comparable Database Manual. We are currently reviewing the Comparable Database Vendor Checklist to ensure that we meet the criteria and, if any deficiencies are identified, develop an action plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Total Beds 2022 HIC</th>
<th>Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV</th>
<th>Total Beds in HMIS</th>
<th>HMIS Bed Coverage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>69.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Safe Haven (SH) beds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>80.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>95.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>72.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1. steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2. how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.
1. FL-510’s HMIS Lead is taking the following steps to address the bed coverage rate for #1 - ES; #3 – TH beds, and #6 OPH beds:
   a. Roll out an updated monthly data quality project scorecard
   b. Offer monthly training for resolving data quality issues
   c. Perform a quarterly provider quality check
   d. Connect with providers that routinely have DQ issues
2. The HMIS Lead presented its recommendation/plan to the HMIS Committee in early September.
   a. Send scorecards to providers in October; HMIS team
   b. October training- first Friday, October 7th at 1:30 pm; facilitated by HMIS team
   c. Conduct provider quality check in January 2022; HMIS team
   d. Meet with providers continuing to have DQ issues as needed; HMIS team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2A-6</th>
<th>Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?  
Yes
2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2B-1. PIT Count Date.</th>
<th>NOFO Section VII.B.4.b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.</td>
<td>01/26/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2B-2. PIT Count–HDX Submission Date.</th>
<th>NOFO Section VII.B.4.b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.</td>
<td>04/25/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2B-3. PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth.</th>
<th>NOFO Section VII.B.4.b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. involved homeless youth in the actual count; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. In 2022, FL-510 received a waiver to conduct a “Sheltered Only” PIT Count and did not conduct an “Unsheltered Count.” Northeast Florida was severely impacted by the CV-19 Omicron variant and made a judgment call. However, we did an unsheltered count in 2021 when most communities chose not to do the count. In general, the CoC partners with youth-serving organizations to assist with developing the youth-count methodology, including specific logistics, time of the count, etc. Additionally, in prior years, we implemented more youth-centric activities. For example, in the 2019 count was solicited through feedback from the Youth Advisory Board that had been established by the Youth Demonstration application process. Youth were actively involved in the count itself, with staff members from JASYMN, a community youth provider, acting as the Youth Count captains and assisting in volunteer recruitment specific to the youth population to ensure better representation. As a result of these many facets of youth involvement, our youth PIT data is more detailed and refined in terms of age ranges and identification of youth experiencing homelessness. Increased data specificity, however, also shows that the overall numbers of homeless youth are trending down.

2. The CoC worked with JASYMN, a youth provider, to identify common locations within the 3-county area where youths were most likely to be found. Once locales were identified, we selected relevant organizations & lead staff members to act as zone commanders for the youth-specific count. Additionally, the CoC worked to engage more shelter youth providers to ensure that the programmatic and bed inventory data they collected was included in the 2019 sheltered count.

3. Organizations worked with their clients to partner with homeless youth to act as guides and direct volunteer teams to find and interview youth. Additionally, the CoC considered and implemented our partner youth provider’s location & geographic recommendations. That is, the CoC utilized the feedback obtained from the Youth Advisory Board.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In the field below:

1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;

2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and

3. describe how the changes affected your CoC’s PIT count results; or

4. state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. As 2021 ended and FL-510 neared the COVID-19 pandemic's second anniversary, we were unsure if conducting the 2022 Annual Point-in-Time (PIT) Count was a feasible option for our Continuum of Care (CoC). The Omicron variant was having a significant impact on the community and agency staff across the CoC, and our community made the decision to conduct a "Sheltered-Only" count. Similar to the 2021 PIT methodology, we extended the “Sheltered Only” event over three days, January 26th through the 28th, and did not utilize any volunteers. We worked closely with community partners and staff from agencies throughout Clay, Duval, and Nassau Counties to conduct surveys at all known shelter locations, including counts for people in non-congregate shelters.

2. NA,

3. During the 2022 Count, we leveraged the Counting Us app, a tool created to automate data collection for the annual homeless census. This app is an easy-to-use, all-in-one platform for recording surveys and submitting the collected information instantly. Amid a global pandemic, Counting Us allowed us to conduct the PIT in the safest, most efficient way by streamlining our collection, analysis, clean-up, and categorization of crucial homelessness data.

4. NA
### 2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

#### 2C-1. Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In the field below:

1. **describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;**

2. **describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and**

3. **provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time**

*limit 2,500 characters*
1. FL-510 regularly reviews community indicators and HMIS data to identify risk factors. From 2020 to 2021, we saw a 30% increase in the number of people experiencing first-time homelessness. Significant current risk factors are COVID-19, lack of flexible diversion funding, and a dire need for deeply affordable housing.

2. The FL-510 Continuum of Care Standards defines the following strategies used to address first-time homelessness:
   - System-wide Prevention and Diversion; Coordinated Entry staff screen clients to allow immediate access to case management and rental subsidies, ensuring emergency beds are available to clients without alternatives. We are forming a Housing Problem Solving team to further support diversion efforts and seeking flexible diversion funding to support the team.
   In addition, the following strategies to reduce homelessness identified in a feasibility study by Focus Strategies in 2019 have been implemented.
   - System-wide Diversion; In late July 2022, we secured funding to implement a Housing Problem-Solving team, which includes a Team Lead and two Navigators. We have identified $10,000 in unrestricted funding to support diversion needs that are outside of traditional grant funding allowable expenses.
   - Changes to Coordinated Entry System policies; In January 2022, we began a Year-Long Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative to ensure we were diverting folks when appropriate, establish the process and identify barriers, and increase the number of unsheltered and chronically homeless households accessing RRH and PSH.
   - RRH Provider Training and Capacity Building; In 2020/2021, our community completed an RRH Learning Collaborative with the National Alliance to End Homelessness. One of the recommendations, a Housing Services team, was implemented in October 2021. The Housing Services team ensures housing deeply affordable housing opportunities and is easily accessible via a web-based tool for the entire CoC.

3. Changing Homelessness in partnership with the CoC Governance Board.

---

2C-2. Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy to Reduce.

NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.

In the field below:

1. describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;

2. describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and

3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)
Average Length of time (LOT) homeless (ES, SH, and TH - 157 days in 2021, 7% decrease)
1. FL-510 has several strategies to reduce the length-of-time homeless. First, outreach/CE staff work to reduce the amount of time for persons experiencing homelessness to gather, request, and generate documentation necessary for housing. We are also engaged in a Coordinated Entry Learning Collaborative, which prompted an evaluation and refinement of CE processes. FL-510 follows the Built for Zero model and reduces the time from the first encounter to program enrollment and the length of time from enrollment to lease-up. A second strategy is to increase the opportunity for permanent housing exits by seeking and obtaining more funding and capacity for RRH and other affordable housing. For example, we have a new CoC project that added 27 RRH beds for victims of domestic violence, and we are nearly a year into the implementation of a CoC-wide Housing Services team that cultivates landlord relationships and seeks to quickly identify deeply affordable units.
FL-510 is simultaneously working to reduce inflow by preventing people from experiencing first-time homelessness by implementing a Housing Problem-Solving team, which will build on the rapid resolution program (already implemented within the veteran population), and other strategies already described (e.g., changes to coordinated entry policies and system-wide prevention and diversion).
2. FL-510 implemented a By-Name List representing all homeless categories but not limited to veterans, chronic, active/inactive, and homeless/housed. Through the list, we know every person experiencing homelessness and can assess and prioritize by vulnerability and length-of-time homeless to ensure the individuals or families with the most urgent need and longest length of time homeless are the first to receive services.

2C-3. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC’s Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In the field below:

1. describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;

2. describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and

3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. From 2020 to 2021, FL-510 realized a 12% decrease in permanent housing exits, which we believe is related to the increasing costs of affordable housing and the limited number of units available. To increase the probability of exiting to permanent housing, FL-510 continues to follow the Built for Zero model and reduces the time from the first encounter to program enrollment and length of time from enrollment to lease-up. We have also implemented a Housing Services team that is focused on landlord engagement and increasing the number of deeply affordable units. We are working to increase the opportunity for permanent housing exits by seeking and obtaining more funding and capacity for RRH and other affordable housing.

2. Between 2018 and 2021, the exit to or retention of permanent housing remained high and very stable in FL-510; it was 92% in 2018, 93% in 2019, 97% in 2020, and 95% in 2021. To maintain/increase the housing retention rate, FL-510 focuses on community and agency collaboration and communication to ensure all programs have access and better connections to mainstream resources, job, and educational opportunities, SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR) case managers and benefits, and a thorough understanding of Housing First with an emphasis on keeping people housed. Currently, there are state dollars for PSH projects to cover wrap-around services for the most vulnerable clients. The CoC continues to work with local and national partners, such as the Mayor’s Affordable Housing Task Force and Community Solutions, to provide more resources to PSH as well as develop additional affordable housing, both site and tenant-based.

3. Changing Homelessness as Collaborative Applicant and HMIS Lead (Training and Monitoring, Coordinated Entry, and HMIS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2C-4.</th>
<th>Returns to Homelessness--CoC’s Strategy to Reduce Rate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the field below:

1. describe your CoC’s strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2. describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)
For a 6-month and 12-month period in FY 2021, FL-510 experienced a 5% and 4% rate of return to homelessness, respectively, for a total of 9% of all exits to permanent housing over a one-year period. FL510 Coordinated Entry and By-Name Lists are key for identifying individuals and families returning to homelessness. As a result of a Built For Zero Initiative to end Veteran homelessness, our most successful intervention thus far has been to implement a “client cross exit” process. Specifically, any client exiting the SSVF program is required to complete the exit interview process with a case manager other than the one they have worked most closely with. Using this modified exit interview process acts as a check on case management and helps to ensure the system has done all that it can to support a client. While we have not identified a specific cause for returns, we continue to explore data related to client and household characteristics, history of homelessness, and other information, to look for correlates of returning to homelessness after exiting to permanent housing.

2. Currently, FL-510 is seeing a small percentage of individuals who return to homelessness. While we have not identified a specific cause for returns, we continue to explore data related to client and household characteristics, history of homelessness, and other information, to look for correlates of returning to homelessness after exiting to permanent housing. When plausible factors are identified, intervention strategies will be implemented to reduce return rates. We also continue to work through each individual's situation.

3. Changing Homelessness, CoC Governance Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In the field below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. describe your CoC’s strategy to access employment cash sources;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(limit 2,500 characters)
1. From 2020 to 2021, FL-510 had a 0% net change of adults exiting programs with earned income. FL-510 uses several strategies to assist CoC program participants in increasing income from employment, including supporting an Employment Specialist and through partnerships with a variety of local employment workforce partners (CareerSource, Goodwill Job Junction, and Catholic Charities; we understand that one size does not fit all). The Employment Specialist also receives a limited number of referrals for RRH clients who need additional support in their employment search. During our monthly CoC General Membership meetings, we share employment opportunity information. For example, the City of Jacksonville’s Homeless Veteran Reintegration Program offers job training through coordination with the CareerSource Disabled Veterans and Local Veteran's Employment Representatives.

2. All strategies FL-510 undertakes to increase employment income are also relevant to increasing access to employment. Three primary mainstream employment organizations, CareerSource, Goodwill Job Junction, and Catholic Charities, accept community referrals for clients, connect local employers to job seekers, and assist applicants in developing skills needed to be hirable. Several years ago, Goodwill Job Junction opened a satellite office at the Urban Rest Stop (the primary Coordinated Entry location and onsite at the Sulzbacher Center – Jacksonville’s largest emergency services agency).

3. CoC and workforce partners

2C-5a. Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC’s Strategy

NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.

In the field below:

1. describe your CoC’s strategy to access non-employment cash income; and

2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. From 2020 to 2021, FL-510 saw a small 4% decrease in the percentage of adults exiting programs with increased non-employment cash income. FL-510 focuses on community and agency collaboration and communication to ensure all programs have access and better connections to mainstream resources, job, and educational opportunities, SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR) case managers and benefits, and a thorough understanding of Housing First with an emphasis on keeping people housed. Currently, there are state dollars for PSH projects to cover wrap-around services for the most vulnerable clients. FL-510 strategies to assist CoC program participants include funding community-wide SSI/SSDI Outreach Access and Recovery (SOAR) processors. Clients are referred for SOAR by Coordinated Intake.

2. CoC and Case Managers working with clients.
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions


NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.
You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness? No


NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.
You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness? No


NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Rank Number</th>
<th>Leverage Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This list contains no items
3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions


NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction? No


NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1. Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2. HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.
3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1. Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.

NOFO Section VII.C.

Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?

No

3C-2. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.

NOFO Section VII.C.

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1. how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and

2. how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.
**4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants**

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

### 4A-1. New DV Bonus Project Applications.

NOFO Section II.B.11.e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4A-1a. DV Bonus Project Types.

NOFO Section II.B.11.e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SSO Coordinated Entry</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You must click “Save” after selecting Yes for element 2 PH-RRH or Joint TH/RRH Component to view questions 4A-3b. through 4A-3h.

### 4A-2. Information About the Project Applicant for the New Support Services Only Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE) DV Bonus Project.

NOFO Section II.B.11.(e)(2)

Enter in the chart below information about the project applicant applying for the new SSO-CE DV Bonus project:
Applicant: Jacksonville-Duval, Clay Counties CoC  
Project: FL-510 CoC Registration FY 2022

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Applicant Name</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Project Name</td>
<td>DV Coordinated Entry FY2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Project Ranking on Priority Listing</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)</td>
<td>KCFTRFBZ87X1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Amount Requested</td>
<td>$584,877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4A-2a. Addressing Coordinated Entry Inadequacies through the New SSO-CE DV Bonus Project.

Describe in the field below:

1. the inadequacies of your CoC’s current Coordinated Entry that limits its ability to better meet the needs of survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking; and

2. how the proposed project addresses inadequacies identified in element 1 of this question.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. FL-510’s current Coordinated Entry System’s main point of entry is located at the Urban Rest Stop (URS), which is in the heart of downtown Duval county and is a well-known location that might inhibit the possibility of addressing safety needs/concerns when presented with households fleeing domestic violence.  
2. To address the inadequacies defined in #1, FL-510 will have a separate access point or point for households fleeing domestic violence. This process and the CES protocol for it will be developed in partnership with Hubbard House, Micah’s Place, and Quigley House (the three CoC victim service providers) and will adhere to the community’s CE process while aligning with all safety practices. We understand that staff must respond with the utmost confidentiality and privacy, and the physical location must also be confidential. Additionally, as we work through the details with our partners, we will consider a virtual access point (through the internet or phone line) to provide quick and easy access from any location throughout the Northeast FL CoC.


Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors with a range of lived expertise in policy and program development throughout the project’s operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)
The FL-510 DV Coordinated Entry project will leverage the experience and resource knowledge of the Consumer Advisory/Advocacy Committee staff, one of which is a DV survivor and experienced homelessness as a result of DV. Fundamental to working with DV survivors will be ensuring safety and confidentiality and providing trauma-informed care. Working with the victim service providers (Hubbard House, Micah’s Place, and Quigley House) and trained/compassionate staff, we will seek opportunities to obtain feedback and host meaningful conversations about DV services, specifically the CES for DV. Most importantly, all staff that supports the CES DV Bonus project will have intensive, person-centered, trauma-informed care and will partner with survivors to establish and define engagement, the project’s implementation, and regular evaluation (bi-annually year 1) and reporting. After the first bi-annual reporting, the CES DV project agency will review and discuss project findings.
4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.

2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where ‘Required?’ is ‘Yes’.

3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.

4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.

5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.

6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.

   - We must be able to read the data and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

   - We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.

7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Required?</th>
<th>Document Description</th>
<th>Date Attached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-1. Local Competition Deadline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Competition...</td>
<td>09/18/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Local Competition...</td>
<td>09/18/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Scored Forms for ...</td>
<td>09/20/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Notification of P...</td>
<td>09/20/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Notification of P...</td>
<td>09/20/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Final Project Sco...</td>
<td>09/18/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:  Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description:  Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description:  Scored Forms for One Project
Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores for All Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description:
Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:
Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants</td>
<td>09/26/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B. Attachments Screen</td>
<td>Please Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Summary</td>
<td>No Input Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FY2022 HUD CoC NOFOs

HUD has announced two Notice of Funding Opportunities:

1. **FY2022 Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition and Noncompetitive YHDP** with approximately $2.794 billion available to CoCs across the nation. You can access the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) here.
2. **FY2022 CoC Supplemental Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness** with $322 million available for this special NOFO. You can access the NOFO here.

If you plan to submit an application, please attend one of the Northeast Florida CoC Bidder’s Conferences.

*Please note — for-profit entities and individuals are ineligible to apply for grants.*

- Thursday, August 18th, 3 to 4:30 pm, [Join Zoom](#)
- Friday, August 19th, 10 to 11:30 am, [Join Zoom](#)

Please email melton@changinghomelessness.org to reserve your spot.

Click here for more detailed information, including the local timeline and supplemental information/resources.
FY2022 HUD CoC Competition and Noncompetitive YHDP

HUD has announced the CoC Competition with approximately $2.794 billion available, at least $52 million for Domestic Violence Bonus Projects and approximately $80 million for non-competitive Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program renewal and replacement expiring grants.

Click here for the full NOFO.

All Local Applications are due via eNSAPs by Tuesday, August 30th end of day.

Funding
Total Funding Available – (PPRN): $5,848,765
Program Components

New Projects created through reallocation or bonus
1. Permanent Housing (PH) — Permanent Support Housing (PSH)
2. Permanent Housing — Rapid Re-housing (RRH)
3. Joint Transitional Housing/PH-RRH component projects
4. Supportive Services Only (SSO) — Coordinated Entry (CE)

New Projects for DV Bonus
1. PH-RRH
2. Joint TH/PH-RRH component projects
3. SSO-CE

Renewals/Existing Projects
1. Expansion
2. Consolidation

All Local Applications are due via ensaps by Tuesday, August 30th end of day.
1. Projects must be in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule, subsequent notices and must meet the threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Availability. If any response is 'No', the project is not eligible for review.

2. Projects are required to participate in HMIS, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency.

3. Projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.

4. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier.

5. Project has documented the required matching funds (Match must be dated June 30, 2022 or after).

6. Audit shows agency as a low risk auditee & no major findings.

7. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200.

8. Member in good standing of Northeast Florida CoC.

---

### Section A: Project Application Threshold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility Criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Projects must be in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule, subsequent notices and must meet the threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Availability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Projects are required to participate in HMIS, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Project has documented the required matching funds (Match must be dated June 30, 2022 or after)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Audit shows agency as a low risk auditee &amp; no major findings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Member in good standing of Northeast Florida CoC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any response is 'No', the project is not eligible for review.
# Section B: Project Financials - 30 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Financials                   | 2020/2021 Audited Financials and 990 submitted | Review of Auditor's Report      | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
If there were no findings: 10pts  
If minor findings: 5pts  
If major or significant applicant ineligible |       |
| Unspent HUD Funds            | HUD- Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS)   | If less than 10% of grant funds spent, then project will receive 10 points;  
Less than 10-15% then 7 points  
Less than 16-20% then 4 points | **Total Points Possible:** 10 pts  
10-15% - 7 pts  
16-20% - 4 pts |       |
| Repay/Return Grant Funds     | HUD CoC Spending Report                      | Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years. | **Total Points Possible:** 5  
No funds returned: 5 pts.  
*If Yes:*  
Explanation addresses all concerns: 3pts  
Explanation addresses some concerns: 1pt  
Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts |       |
| HUD Unresolved Findings      |                                             | Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending | **Total Points Possible:** 5  
No unresolved findings: 5 pts.  
*If Yes:*  
Explanation addresses all concerns: 2pts  
Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts |       |

**Section B: Sub-Total** 0
## Section C: Project Performance - 40 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PSH Housing Stability:</strong> % of persons who remained in the PH project at the end of the operating year or exited to a permanent housing destination</td>
<td>HUD CoC Annual Performance Report (APR)</td>
<td>Percentage of the Total number of Retained Clients + Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served. <em>Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both.</em></td>
<td><strong>Total Points Possible:</strong>&lt;br&gt;20&lt;br&gt;90% + = 20 pts&lt;br&gt;85% - 89% = 15 pts&lt;br&gt;80% - 84% = 10pts&lt;br&gt;79% - 70% = 5 pts&lt;br&gt;&lt;69% or no data = 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RRH and TH Housing Stability:</strong> % of persons who exited to a positive housing destination</td>
<td>HUD CoC APR</td>
<td>Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations/Total person exited program. <em>Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both.</em></td>
<td><strong>Total Points Possible:</strong>&lt;br&gt;20&lt;br&gt;90% + = 20 pts&lt;br&gt;89% - 80% = 15 pts&lt;br&gt;79% - 75% = 10pts&lt;br&gt;74% - 70% = 5 pts&lt;br&gt;&lt;69% or no data = 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earned Income Total:</strong> % of program participants who increased their earned income as shown on the last APR</td>
<td>HUD CoC APR</td>
<td>The percentage of stayers/leavers that increase cash earned income from entry to latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers without annual assessments</td>
<td><strong>Total Points Possible:</strong>&lt;br&gt;5&lt;br&gt;IF PSH Project&lt;br&gt;25% + = 5 pts&lt;br&gt;20% - 24% = 4 pts&lt;br&gt;15% - 19% = 3 pts&lt;br&gt;14% - 10% = 2 pts&lt;br&gt;&lt;10% = 0 pts&lt;br&gt;IF RRH or TH Project&lt;br&gt;50% + = 5 pts&lt;br&gt;49% - 40% = 4 pts&lt;br&gt;39% - 30% = 3 pts&lt;br&gt;29% - 20% = 2 pts&lt;br&gt;&lt;20% = 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section C: Subtotal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unearned Income Total: % of program participants who increased their non-employment income (including non-cash benefits) as shown on the last APR</th>
<th>HUD CoC APR</th>
<th>The percentage of stayers/leavers with noncash benefit sources, excluding all stayers without annual assessments.</th>
<th>Total Points Possible: 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40% + = 5 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30% - 39% = 4 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20% - 29% = 2 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 19.9% = 0 pts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utilization Rate: % of utilization reported on HIC</th>
<th>2021 Housing Inventory Count (HIC)</th>
<th>Enter the utilization rate for applicant program as reported on 2021 HIC report</th>
<th>Total Points Possible: 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95%+ = 10 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;95%-90% = 8 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;90%-85% = 5 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;85%-80% = 2 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 80% = 0 pt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Section C: Subtotal | 0 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Street Homeless Placements: | HUD CoC APR | The percentage of participants entering the project for the grant year that are from a place not meant for human or Emergency Shelter | Total Points Possible: 10  
If PSH or RRH Project  
80% + = 10 pts  
79.9%-70 = 7 pts  
69.9% - 60 = 4 pts  
< 60% = 0 pts  
IF TH Project  
70% + = 10 pts  
69.9%-60 = 7 pts  
59.9%-50 = 7 pts  
< 50% = 0 pts |
| Priority Population-PSH   | HIC    | For PSH: Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for chronically homeless persons. | Total Points Possible: 10  
100% = 10 pts  
90%-80 = 7 pts  
85% - 70 = 4 pts |
| Priority Population-RRH   | HIC    | Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for Families with Children, Persons fleeing Domestic Violence or for Unaccompanied Youth | Total Points Possible: 10  
90% + = 10 pts  
89.9%-80 = 7 pts  
79.9% - 70 = 4 pts  
< 70% = 0 pts |
| Priority Population-TH    | HIC    | Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized Youth                                  | If 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 10 pts. If less than 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 0 pts |
| Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations | Project Application | **PSH:** Either Chronically Homeless Families with Children and/or Chronically Homeless Veterans NOTE all PSH Beds must be dedicated to chronically homeless persons or DedicatedPLUS  
**RRH:** Unaccompanied LGBTQ Youth, Youth Families with Children, Survivors of Domestic Violence/Victims of Human Trafficking  
**TH or TH-RRH:** DV or youth | **Total Points Possible:** 5 pts.  
For each project type if yes to serving a priority population the applicant will receive 5 pts. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Racial Equity | Project Application | Identified barriers to participation faced by individuals of different races and ethnicities, especially those over-represented process taken or planned steps to eliminate barriers. | **Total Points:** 10 pts.  
Project application must clearly state/reference its distribution of race and ethnicity steps taken/will be taken to eliminate barriers. |

**Section D: Subtotal**  
0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Timeliness</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD Data Quality (DQ) Report</td>
<td>% of records between 0-3 days</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5 85% +: 5 pts 70% to 84 %: 3 pts 55% to 69 %: 2 pts &lt; 54.9% : 0 pts</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUD Universal Data Element:</strong> Project Start Date and Exit Data</td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Project Start and Exit Data'</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5 Less then 5 %: 5 pts 5% to 9.99 %: 3 pts 10% to 14.99%: 2 pts 15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Quality:</strong> Income at Annual Assessment</td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Income and Sources at Annual Assessment'</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5 Less then 5 %: 5 pts 5% to 9.99 %: 3 pts 10% to 14.99%: 2 pts 15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section E: Subtoal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Data Calculations</td>
<td>Scoring Values</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Alignment with Housing First Principles** | CoC Project Application      | To what extent do the project’s written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?  
• Having too little or no income  
• Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants).  
• Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder  
• Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions) | Total Points Possible: 10  
Yes to all and the project will be awarded maximum points; No to any and the project will score zero |       |
| **Coordinated Access Referral**     | Coordinated Access Report     | Extent to which clients were assigned by CES                                       | Test Question                                       |       |
| **Coordinated Access Referral**     | Coordinated Access Report     | **Length of Time from Referral to Project Intake**  
Less than 30 days will be awarded maximum points. More than 30 days will result in a score of zero | Test Question                                       |       |
| **Filing of APR**                   | SAGE APR Report               | Applicant timely and successfully filed APR                                        | Total Points Possible: 10  
If filed on time receive full pts.  
If filed late receive zero pts. |       |

**Section F: Subtotal**  
0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **COC Participation** | Point-in-Time (PIT) and HIC Involvement | 2022 PIT Sign Ups and Participation; Agency submission of 2022 HIC (Only unsheltered count in 2022) | Total Points Possible: 10  
PIT Participation = 5 pts  
HIC Submission = 5 pts | |
| **COC Participation** | COC Membership participation | Sign Up Sheets for CoC General Membership Participation | Total Points Possible: 5  
If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
If attended 8+: 5 pts | |
| **COC Participation** | COC Committee participation | Sign Up Sheets for CoC Committees Participation | Total Points Possible: 5  
If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
If attended 8+: 5 pts | |

**Section G: Subtotal**  
0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to Mainstream Benefits</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant or project partner has process in place to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 3 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Liaison</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Effectiveness</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost effective (number of persons served/requested total) as compared to other projects or proposals providing the same component</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Satisfaction Surveys</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Award 5 points for a “Yes” response. If response is &quot;No&quot; then the project will score zero</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation by population served</td>
<td>Agency written policies and procedures</td>
<td>Does the agency have written policies and procedures submitted by the project and/or a narrative response demonstrating client participation in program design and policy-making? Yes and the maximum points will be awarded; No and zero points will be awarded</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant demonstrates participation and partnerships with organizations that have expertise in serving the LGBTQ+ population.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Affordable Housing Supply</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant describes how it engages with local leaders about increasing housing supply.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID Response</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>The project has implemented COVID-19 safety protocols including but not limited to testing, vaccinations, etc.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section H: Subtotal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Section I: Bonus Point Section - 5 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation at RFP Workshop</td>
<td>Workshop Roster</td>
<td>Yes attended or No did not attend from Sign in Sheet</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Projects must be in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule, subsequent notices and must meet the threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Availability.

2. Projects are required to participate in HMIS, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency.

3. Projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.

4. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier.

5. Project has documented the required matching funds (Match must be dated June 30, 2022 or after)

6. Audit shows agency as a low risk auditee & no major findings.

7. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200

8. Member in good standing of Northeast Florida CoC

### Scoring Overview

As determined by HUD and the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order:

**Priority 1:** Renewal Coordinated Entry System (CES) and Homeless Management Information (HMIS) System Projects  
**Priority 2:** Renewal Permanent Housing (PH) Projects  
**Priority 3:** Renewal Reallocation Permanent Housing Projects  
**Priority 4:** New Permanent Housing Projects  
**Priority 5:** New CES and HMIS Projects  
**Priority 6:** New Joint TH-PH Housing Projects

All new projects and any renewal projects with less than 6 months of HMIS data will be scored utilizing the following materials: Project application, Agency policies and procedures, agency fiscal information, 2022 HIC and 2021-2022 CoC membership report.

### Section A: Project Application Threshold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility Criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Projects must be in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule, subsequent notices and must meet the threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Availability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Projects are required to participate in HMIS, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Project has documented the required matching funds (Match must be dated June 30, 2022 or after)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Audit shows agency as a low risk auditee &amp; no major findings.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Member in good standing of Northeast Florida CoC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Data Calculations</td>
<td>Scoring Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financials</td>
<td>2020/2021 Audited Financials and 990 submitted</td>
<td>Review of Auditor’s Report</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 10&lt;br&gt;If there were no findings: 10pts&lt;br&gt;If minor findings: 5pts&lt;br&gt;If major or significant applicant ineligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspent HUD Funds</td>
<td>HUD- Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS)</td>
<td>If less than 10% of grant funds unspent, then project will receive 10 points;&lt;br&gt;Less than 10-15% then 7 points&lt;br&gt;Less than 16-20% then 4 points</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 10 pts&lt;br&gt;10-15% - 7 pts&lt;br&gt;16-20% - 4 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repay/Return Grant Funds</td>
<td>HUD CoC Spending Report</td>
<td>Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;No funds returned: 5 pts.&lt;br&gt;Explanation addresses all concerns: 3pts&lt;br&gt;Explanation addresses some concerns: 1pt&lt;br&gt;Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Unresolved Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td>Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;No unresolved findings: 5 pts.&lt;br&gt;Explanation addresses all concerns: 2pts&lt;br&gt;Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section B: Sub-Total** 30
### Section C: Project Performance- 40 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **PSH Housing Stability:** | HUD CoC Annual Performance Report (APR) | Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served. *Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both.*  
Data: APR Q5 & Q23c  
Total Served: 84  
Total Exited: 20  
Total positive exits: 15 excluded: 3 (deceased)  
84 - 20 - 3 = 61+15= 76  
76/(84 - 3) = .9383 * 100 = 93.83% | Total Points Possible: 20  
90% + = 20 pts  
85% -89% = 15 pts  
80% - 84% = 10pts  
79%-.70% = 5 pts  
< 69% or no data = 0 pts | 20 |
| **RRH and TH Housing Stability:** | HUD CoC APR | Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations/Total person exited program. *Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both.* | Total Points Possible: 20  
90% + = 20 pts  
89% - 80% = 15 pts  
79% - 75% = 10pts  
74% - 70% = 5pts  
< 69% or no data = 0 pts | |
| **Earned Income Total:**  | HUD CoC APR | The percentage of stayers/leavers that increase cash earned income from entry to latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers without annual assessments  
Data: APR Q19a2  
15.38% increased income. | Total Points Possible: 5  
IF PSH Project  
25% + = 5 pts  
20% - 24% =4 pts  
15% - 19% =3 pts  
14% - 10% =2 pts  
< 10% = 0 pts  
IF RRH or TH Project  
50% + =5 pts  
49% -40% = 4 pts  
39% - 30% =3 pts  
29% - 20% =2 pts  
< 20% = 0 pts | 3 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Unearned Income</strong></th>
<th><strong>Total: % of program participants who increased their non-employment income (including non-cash benefits) as shown on the last APR</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUD CoC APR</strong></td>
<td>The percentage of stayers/leavers with noncash benefit sources, excluding all stayers without annual assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data: APR Q20b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% without non-cash benefits at entry: 16/61 = 26.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stayers(excluding those without annual): 4+29 = 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>leavers: 2+11 = 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% without non-cash benefits at stay/leave: (4+2)/(33+13) = 13.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Points Possible:</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40% + = 5 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% - 39% = 4 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20% - 29% = 2 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 19.9% = 0 pts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Utilization Rate:</strong></th>
<th><strong>% of utilization reported on HIC</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2021 Housing Inventory Count (HIC)</strong></td>
<td>Enter the utilization rate for applicant program as reported on 2021 HIC report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60/63 = 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Points Possible:</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%+ = 10 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;95%-90% = 8 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;90%-85% = 5 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;85%-80% = 2 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 80% = 0 pt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Section C: Subtotal** | **38** |
## Section D: Serving Priority Populations - 55 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Street Homeless Placements:** | HUD CoC APR | The percentage of participants entering the project for the grant year that are from a place not meant for human or Emergency Shelter | Total Points Possible: 10 IF PSH or RRH Project  
  - 80% + = 10 pts  
  - 79.9%-70 = 7 pts  
  - 69.9% - 60 = 4 pts  
  - < 60% = 0 pts  
  IF TH Project  
  - 70% + = 10 pts  
  - 69.9%-60 = 7 pts  
  - 59.9%-50 = 7 pts  
  - < 50% = 0 pts | 10 |
| **Priority Population-PSH**   | HIC | For PSH: Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for chronically homeless persons.  
  - 100% | Total Points Possible: 10  
  - 100% = 10 pts  
  - 90%-80 = 7 pts  
  - 85% - 70 = 4 pts | 10 |
| **Priority Population-RRH**   | HIC | Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for Families with Children, Persons fleeing Domestic Violence or for Unaccompanied Youth | Total Points Possible: 10  
  - 90% + = 10 pts  
  - 89.9%-80 = 7 pts  
  - 79.9% - 70 = 4 pts  
  - < 70% = 0 pts | 10 |
| **Priority Population-TH**    | HIC | Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized Youth | If 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 10 pts.  
If less than 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 0 pts |  }
| Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations | Project Application | **PSH:** Either Chronically Homeless Families with Children and/or Chronically Homeless Veterans NOTE all PSH Beds must be dedicated to chronically homeless persons or DedicatedPLUS  
**RRH:** Unaccompanied LGBTQ Youth, Youth Families with Children, Survivors of Domestic Violence/Victims of Human Trafficking  
**TH or TH-RRH:** DV or youth | **Total Points Possible:** 5 pts.  
For each project type if yes to serving a priority population the applicant will receive 5 pts. | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Racial Equity | Project Application | Identified barriers to participation faced by individuals of different races and ethnicities, especially those over-represented process taken or planned steps to eliminate barriers. | **Total Points:** 10 pts.  
Project application must clearly state/reference its distribution of race and ethnicity steps taken/will be taken to eliminate barriers. | 5 |
|   |   |   | Section D: Subtotal | 30 |
## Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 15 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Timeliness</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD Data Quality (DQ) Report</td>
<td>% of records between 0-3 days</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;85% +: 5 pts&lt;br&gt;70% to 84%: 3 pts&lt;br&gt;55% to 69%: 2 pts&lt;br&gt;(&lt; 54.9%: 0 pts</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUD Universal Data Element:</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Project Start and Exit Data'</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;Less than 5%: 5 pts&lt;br&gt;5% to 9.99%: 3 pts&lt;br&gt;10% to 14.99%: 2 pts&lt;br&gt;15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Quality:</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Income and Sources at Annual Assessment'</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;Less than 5%: 5 pts&lt;br&gt;5% to 9.99%: 3 pts&lt;br&gt;10% to 14.99%: 2 pts&lt;br&gt;15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section E: Subtotal:** 10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Alignment with Housing First Principles** | CoC Project Application - Housing First Questionnaire | **To what extent do the project’s written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?**  
• Having too little or no income  
• Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants).  
• Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder  
• Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions)  
• History or survivor of domestic violence | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
Yes to all and the project will be awarded maximum points; No to any and the project will score zero | 10 |
| **Coordinated Access Referral**     | Coordinated Access Report                   | **Extent to which clients were assigned by CES**  
Households enrolled this reporting period: 10  
With CE referral: 4  
4/10 = 40% | Test Question | |
| **Coordinated Access Referral**     | Coordinated Access Report                   | **Length of Time from Referral to Project Intake**  
Less than 30 days will be awarded maximum points. More than 30 days will result in a score of zero  
Average days from Referral to enrollment: 61.75 days | Test Question | |
| **Filing of APR**                   | SAGE APR Report                             | Applicant timely and successfully filed APR | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
If filed on time receive full pts.  
If filed late receive zero pts. | 10 |

**Section F: Subtotal** 20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| COC Participation | Point-in-Time (PIT) and HIC Involvement          | 2022 PIT Sign Ups and Participation; Agency submission of 2022 HIC (Only unsheltered count in 2022) | Total Points Possible: 10  
PT Participation = 5 pts  
HIC Submission = 5 pts                                                                 | 10    |
| COC Participation | COC Membership participation                     | Sign Up Sheets for CoC General Membership Participation                           | Total Points Possible: 5  
If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
If attended 8+: 5 pts                                                                 | 4     |
| COC Participation | COC Committee participation                      | Sign Up Sheets for CoC Committees Participation                                  | Total Points Possible: 5  
If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
If attended 8+: 5 pts                                                                 | 4     |
<p>|                   |                                                 |                                                                                  | Section G: Subtotal: 18                                                   |       |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to Mainstream Benefits</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant or project partner has process in place to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 3 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Liaison</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Effectiveness</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost effective (number of persons served/requested total) as compared to other projects or proposals providing the same component</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Satisfaction Surveys</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Award 5 points for a “Yes” response. If response is “No” then the project will score zero</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation by population served</td>
<td>Agency written policies and procedures</td>
<td>Does the agency have written policies and procedures submitted by the project and/or a narrative response demonstrating client participation in program design and policy-making? Yes and the maximum points will be awarded; No and zero points will be awarded</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant demonstrates participation and partnerships with organizations that have expertise in serving the LGBTQ+ population.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Affordable Housing Supply</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant describes how it engages with local leaders about increasing housing supply.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID Response</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>The project has implemented COVID-19 safety protocols including but not limited to testing, vaccinations, etc.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section H: Subtotal**

**45**
# Section I: Bonus Point Section - 5 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation at RFP Workshop</td>
<td>Workshop Roster</td>
<td>Yes attended or No did not attend from Sign in Sheet</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As determined by HUD and the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order:

Priority 1: Renewal Coordinated Entry System (CES) and Homeless Management Information (HMIS) System Projects
Priority 2: Renewal Permanent Housing (PH) Projects
Priority 3: Renewal Reallocation Permanent Housing Projects
Priority 4: New Permanent Housing Projects
Priority 5: New CES and HMIS Projects
Priority 6: New Joint TH-PH Housing Projects

All new projects and any renewal projects with less than 6 months of HMIS data will be scored utilizing the following materials: Project application, Agency policies and procedures, agency fiscal information, 2022 HIC and 2021-2022 CoC membership report.

### Section A: Project Application Threshold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility Criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Projects must be in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule, subsequent notices and must meet the threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Availability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Projects are required to participate in HMIS, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Project has documented the required matching funds (Match must be dated June 30, 2022 or after)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Audit shows agency as a low risk auditee &amp; no major findings.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Member in good standing of Northeast Florida CoC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any response is 'No' project is not eligible for review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Financials              | 2020/2021 Audited Financials and 990 submitted | Review of Auditor's Report                                                        | Total Points Possible: 10 pts  
If there were no findings: 10pts  
If minor findings: 5pts  
If major or significant applicant ineligible | 10    |
| Unspent HUD Funds       | HUD- Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS)  | If less than 10% of grant funds unspent, then project will receive 10 points;  
Less than 10-15% then 7 points  
Less than 16-20% then 4 points | Total Points Possible: 10 pts  
10-15% - 7 pts  
16-20% - 4 pts | 10    |
| Repay/Return Grant Funds| HUD CoC Spending Report                      | Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years.  | Total Points Possible: 5  
No funds returned: 5 pts.  
*If Yes:* Explanation addresses all concerns: 3pts  
Explanation addresses some concerns: 1pt  
Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts | 5     |
| HUD Unresolved Findings |                                             | Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending | Total Points Possible: 5  
No unresolved findings: 5 pts.  
*If Yes:* Explanation addresses all concerns: 2pts  
Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts | 5     |
| Section B: Sub-Total    |                                             |                                                                                   |                                                                                 | 30    |
## Section C: Project Performance - 40 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **PSH Housing Stability:** % of persons who remained in the PH project at the end of the operating year or exited to a permanent housing destination | HUD CoC Annual Performance Report (APR) | Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served. *Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both. Data: APR Q5 & Q23c  
Total Served: 84  
Total Exited: 20  
Total positive exits: 15 excluded: 3 (deceased)  
84 - 20 - 3 = 61 + 15 = 76  
76/(84 - 3) = .9383 * 100 = 93.83% | Total Points Possible: 20  
90% + = 20 pts  
85% - 89% = 15 pts  
80% - 84% = 10 pts  
79% - 70% = 5 pts  
< 69% or no data = 0 pts |
| **RRH and TH Housing Stability:** % of persons who exited to a positive housing destination | HUD CoC APR | Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations/Total person exited program. *Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both. | Total Points Possible: 20  
90% + = 20 pts  
89% - 80% = 15 pts  
79% - 75% = 10 pts  
74% - 70% = 5 pts  
< 69% or no data = 0 pts |
| **Earned Income Total:** % of program participants who increased their earned income as shown on the last APR | HUD CoC APR | The percentage of stayers/leavers that increase cash earned income from entry to latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers without annual assessments  
Data: APR Q19a2  
15.38% increased income. | Total Points Possible: 5  
IF PSH Project  
25% + = 5 pts  
20% - 24% = 4 pts  
15% - 19% = 3 pts  
14% - 10% = 2 pts  
< 10% = 0 pts  
IF RRH or TH Project  
50% + = 5 pts  
49% - 40% = 4 pts  
39% - 30% = 3 pts  
29% - 20% = 2 pts  
< 20% = 0 pts |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unearned Income</th>
<th>Total: % of program participants who increased their non-employment income (including non-cash benefits) as shown on the last APR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% without non-cash benefits at entry: 16/61 = 26.23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stayers(excluding those without annual): 4+29 = 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leavers: 2+11 = 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% without non-cash benefits at stay/leave: (4+2)/(33+13) = 13.04%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned Income</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% without non-cash benefits at entry: 16/61 = 26.23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stayers(excluding those without annual): 4+29 = 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leavers: 2+11 = 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% without non-cash benefits at stay/leave: (4+2)/(33+13) = 13.04%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization Rate: % of utilization reported on HIC</td>
<td>2021 Housing Inventory Count (HIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enter the utilization rate for applicant program as reported on 2021 HIC report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60/63 = 95%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization Rate: Total Points Possible: 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%+ = 10 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;95%-90% = 8 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;90%-85% = 5 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;85%-80% = 2 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 80% = 0 pt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section C: Subtotal 38
### Section D: Serving Priority Populations- 55 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Street Homeless Placements:  | HUD CoC APR | - The percentage of participants entering the project for the grant year that are from a place not meant for human or Emergency Shelter  
- Data: APR Q15  
- Total HOH entered: 61  
- Total from ES: 45  
- Total from Unsheltered: 16  
- \(\frac{61}{61} = 100\%\)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
**IF PSH or RRH Project**  
80% + = 10 pts  
79.9%-70 = 7 pts  
69.9%-60 = 4 pts  
< 60% = 0 pts  
**IF TH Project**  
70% + = 10 pts  
69.9%-60 = 7 pts  
59.9%-50 = 7 pts  
< 50% = 0 pts | 10    |
| Priority Population-PSH      | HIC    | - For PSH: Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for chronically homeless persons.  
- 100%                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
100% = 10 pts  
90%-80 = 7 pts  
85% - 70 = 4 pts | 10    |
| Priority Population-RRH      | HIC    | - Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for Families with Children, Persons fleeing Domestic Violence or for Unaccompanied Youth                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
90% + = 10 pts  
89.9%-80 = 7 pts  
79.9% - 70 = 4 pts  
< 70% = 0 pts | 10    |
| Priority Population-TH       | HIC    | - Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized Youth                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | If 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 10 pts. If less than 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 0 pts |
| Priority Population-Applicable Sub-Populations | Project Application | PSH: Either Chronically Homeless Families with Children and/or Chronically Homeless Veterans NOTE all PSH Beds must be dedicated to chronically homeless persons or DedicatedPLUS  
RRH: Unaccompanied LGBTQ Youth, Youth Families with Children, Survivors of Domestic Violence/Victims of Human Trafficking  
TH or TH-RRH: DV or youth | Total Points Possible: 5 pts.  
For each project type if yes to serving a priority population the applicant will receive 5 pts. |
|---|---|---|
| Racial Equity | Project Application | Identified barriers to participation faced by individuals of different races and ethnicities, especially those over-represented process taken or planned steps to eliminate barriers. | Total Points: 10 pts.  
Project application must clearly state/reference its distribution of race and ethnicity steps taken/will be taken to eliminate barriers. |

| Section D: Subtotal | 35 |
## Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 15 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Timeliness</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD Data Quality (DQ) Report</td>
<td>% of records between 0-3 days&lt;br&gt;DQ Q6:&lt;br&gt;Total Records: 42&lt;br&gt;Total Records 0 - 3 days: 22&lt;br&gt;22/42 = 52.38%</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;85% +: 5 pts&lt;br&gt;70% to 84 %: 3 pts&lt;br&gt;55% to 69 %: 2 pts&lt;br&gt;&lt; 54.9% : 0 pts</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUD Universal Data Element:</strong> Project Start Date and Exit Data</td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Project Start and Exit Data'&lt;br&gt;DQ Report Q3:&lt;br&gt;0%</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;Less then 5 %: 5 pts&lt;br&gt;5% to 9.99 %: 3 pts&lt;br&gt;10% to 14.99%: 2 pts&lt;br&gt;15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Quality:</strong> Income at Annual Assessment</td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Income and Sources at Annual Assessment'&lt;br&gt;0%</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5&lt;br&gt;Less then 5 %: 5 pts&lt;br&gt;5% to 9.99 %: 3 pts&lt;br&gt;10% to 14.99%: 2 pts&lt;br&gt;15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section E: Subtotal**: 10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Alignment with Housing First Principles** | CoC Project Application - Housing First Questionnaire | **To what extent do the project’s written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?**  
• Having too little or no income  
• Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants).  
• Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder  
• Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions)  
• History or survivor of domestic violence | **Total Points Possible:**  
10  
Yes to all and the project will be awarded maximum points; No to any and the project will score zero |
| **Coordinated Access Referral**          | Coordinated Access Report                   | **Extent to which clients were assigned by CES**  
Households enrolled this reporting period: 10  
With CE referral: 4  
4/10 = 40%  
| **Test Question**                                                                      |                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                  |
| **Coordinated Access Referral**          | Coordinated Access Report                   | **Length of Time from Referral to Project Intake**  
Less than 30 days will be awarded maximum points. More than 30 days will result in a score of zero  
Average days from Referral to enrollment: 61.75 days | **Test Question**                                                                                                              |
| **Filing of APR**                        | SAGE APR Report                             | **Applicant timely and successfully filed APR**                                                                                                                                   | **Total Points Possible:**  
10  
If filed on time receive full pts.  
If filed late receive zero pts. |
| **Section F: Subtotal**                  |                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                  | **20**  
<p>|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| COC Participation | Point-in-Time (PIT) and HIC Involvement | 2022 PIT Sign Ups and Participation; Agency submission of 2022 HIC (Only unsheltered count in 2022) | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
  PIT Participation = 5 pts  
  HIC Submission = 5 pts | 10 |
| COC Participation | COC Membership participation | Sign Up Sheets for CoC General Membership Participation | **Total Points Possible:** 5  
  If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
  If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
  If attended 8+: 5 pts | 4 |
| COC Participation | COC Committee participation | Sign Up Sheets for CoC Committees Participation | **Total Points Possible:** 5  
  If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
  If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
  If attended 8+: 5 pts | 4 |

**Section G: Subtotal**  
18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to Mainstream Benefits</strong></td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant or project partner has process in place to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 3 pts.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School Liaison</strong></td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost Effectiveness</strong></td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost effective (number of persons served/requested total) as compared to other projects or proposals providing the same component</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Client Satisfaction Surveys</strong></td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Award 5 points for a “Yes” response. If response is &quot;No&quot; then the project will score zero</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</strong></td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation by population served</td>
<td>Agency written policies and procedures</td>
<td>Does the agency have written policies and procedures submitted by the project and/or a narrative response demonstrating client participation in program design and policy-making? Yes and the maximum points will be awarded; No and zero points will be awarded</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant demonstrates participation and partnerships with organizations that have expertise in serving the LGBTQ+ population.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Affordable Housing Supply</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant describes how it engages with local leaders about increasing housing supply.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID Response</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>The project has implemented COVID-19 safety protocols including but not limited to testing, vaccinations, etc.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section H: Subtotal

38
### Section I: Bonus Point Section - 5 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation at RFP Workshop</td>
<td>Workshop Roster</td>
<td>Yes attended or No did not attend from Sign in Sheet</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Scoring Overview

As determined by HUD and the CoC Governance Board, community priority will be given to eligible projects in the following order:

**Priority 1:** Renewal Coordinated Entry System (CES) and Homeless Management Information (HMIS) System Projects

**Priority 2:** Renewal Permanent Housing (PH) Projects

**Priority 3:** Renewal Reallocation Permanent Housing Projects

**Priority 4:** New Permanent Housing Projects

**Priority 5:** New CES and HMIS Projects

**Priority 6:** New Joint TH-PH Housing Projects

All new projects and any renewal projects with less than 6 months of HMIS data will be scored utilizing the following materials:

- Project application
- Agency policies and procedures
- Agency fiscal information
- 2022 HIC and 2021-2022 CoC membership report.

### Section A: Project Application Threshold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility Criteria</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Projects must be in compliance with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule, subsequent notices and must meet the threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Availability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Projects are required to participate in HMIS, unless the project is a victim-service agency, serving survivors of domestic violence, or a legal services agency.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Projects are required to participate in Coordinated Entry, when it is available for the project type.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Project agrees to use Housing First principles and be low barrier</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Project has documented the required matching funds (Match must be dated June 30, 2022 or after)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Audit shows agency as a low risk auditee &amp; no major findings.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Applicant has a Code of Conduct which complies with 2 CFR part 200</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Member in good standing of Northeast Florida CoC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any response is 'No' project is not eligible for review.
### Section B: Project Financials- 30 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Financials**             | 2020/2021 Audited Financials and 990 submitted                       | Review of Auditor’s Report                                                        | **Total Points Possible:** 10  
If there were no findings: 10pts  
If minor findings: 5pts  
If major or significant applicant ineligible | 10    |
| **Unspent HUD Funds**      | HUD- Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS)                             | If less than 10% of grant funds unspent, then project will receive 10 points;  
Less than 10-15% then 7 points  
Less than 16-20% then 4 points | **Total Points Possible:** 10 pts  
10-15% - 7 pts  
16-20% - 4 pts | 10    |
| **Repay/Return Grant Funds** | HUD CoC Spending Report                                               | Applicant Returned funds to HUD or other federal or state agency within 2 years. | **Total Points Possible:** 5  
No funds returned: 5 pts.  
*If Yes:*  
Explanation addresses all concerns: 3pts  
Explanation addresses some concerns: 1pt  
Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts | 5     |
| **HUD Unresolved Findings** |                                                                       | Has outstanding obligation/debt to HUD in arrears or with payment schedule pending | **Total Points Possible:** 5  
No unresolved findings: 5 pts.  
*If Yes:*  
Explanation addresses all concerns: 2pts  
Explanation fails to address concerns: 0pts | 5     |

**Section B: Sub-Total**  
30
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **PSH Housing Stability:** % of persons who remained in the PH project at the end of the operating year or exited to a permanent housing destination | HUD CoC Annual Performance Report (APR)    | Clients with Positive Exits out of the Total Non-Deceased Clients Served.  *Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both.  

Data: APR Q5 & Q23c  

Total Served: 84  
Total Exited: 20  
Total positive exits: 15  
excluded: 3 (deceased)  
84 - 20 - 3 = 61+15= 76  
76/(84 - 3) = .9383 * 100 = 93.83%  

Total Points Possible: 20  
90% + = 20 pts  
85% -89% = 15 pts  
80% - 84% = 10pts  
79%-70% = 5 pts  
< 69% or no data = 0 pts | | 20 |
| **RRH and TH Housing Stability:** % of persons who exited to a positive housing destination | HUD CoC APR | Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations/Total person exited program.  *Project will be either PSH or RRH and TH - not both.  

Total Points Possible: 20  
90% + = 20 pts  
89% - 80% = 15 pts  
79% - 75% = 10pts  
74% - 70% = 5pts  
< 69% or no data = 0 pts | | 20 |
| **Earned Income Total:** % of program participants who increased their earned income as shown on the last APR | HUD CoC APR | The percentage of stayers/leavers that increase cash earned income from entry to latest annual assessment/exit, excluding all stayers without annual assessments  

Data: APR Q19a2  
15.38% increased income.  

Total Points Possible: 5  
IF PSH Project  
25% + = 5 pts  
20% - 24% =4 pts  
15% - 19% =3 pts  
14% - 10% =2 pts  
< 10% = 0 pts  

IF RRH or TH Project  
50% + =5 pts  
49% -40% = 4 pts  
39% - 30% =3 pts  
29% - 20% =2 pts  
< 20% = 0 pts | | 3 |
### Unearned Income

**Total:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of program participants who increased their non-employment income (including non-cash benefits) as shown on the last APR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD CoC APR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of stayers/leavers with noncash benefit sources, excluding all stayers without annual assessments.

Data: APR Q20b

- % without non-cash benefits at entry: $16/61 = 26.23\%$
- Stayers (excluding those without annual): $4 + 29 = 33$
- Leavers: $2 + 11 = 13$
- % without non-cash benefits at stay/leave: $(4+2)/(33+13) = 13.04\%$
- $|13.04 - 26.23|/26.23 = 50.29\%$

**Total Points Possible:**

40% + = 5 pts
30% - 39% = 4 pts
20% - 29% = 2 pts
< 19.9% = 0 pts

### Utilization Rate

**% of utilization reported on HIC**

| 2021 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) |

Enter the utilization rate for applicant program as reported on 2021 HIC report

$60/63 = 95\%$

**Total Points Possible:**

95%+ = 10 pts
<95%-90% = 8 pts
<90%-85% = 5 pts
<85%-80% = 2 pts
< 80% = 0 pt

---

**Section C: Subtotal**

38
### Section D: Serving Priority Populations - 55 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Street Homeless Placements:** | HUD CoC APR | The percentage of participants entering the project for the grant year that are from a place not meant for human or Emergency Shelter | Total Points Possible: 10  
IF PSH or RRH Project  
80% + = 10 pts  
79.9%-70 = 7 pts  
69.9% - 60 = 4 pts  
< 60% = 0 pts  
IF TH Project  
70% + = 10 pts  
69.9%-60 = 7 pts  
59.9%-50 = 7 pts  
< 50% = 0 pts | 10    |
| **Priority Population-PSH**    | HIC    | For PSH: Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for chronically homeless persons.  
100% | Total Points Possible: 10  
100% = 10 pts  
90%-80 = 7 pts  
85% - 70 = 4 pts | 10    |
| **Priority Population-RRH**    | HIC    | Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized for Families with Children, Persons fleeing Domestic Violence or for Unaccompanied Youth | Total Points Possible: 10  
90% + = 10 pts  
89.9%-80 = 7 pts  
79.9% - 70 = 4 pts  
< 70% = 0 pts | 10    |
| **Priority Population-TH**     | HIC    | Percentage of beds dedicated to/prioritized Youth | If 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 10 pts.  
If less than 100% dedicated to youth, ADD 0 pts |
| Priority Population-Aplicable Sub-Populations | Project Application | **PSH:** Either Chronically Homeless Families with Children and/or Chronically Homeless Veterans NOTE all PSH Beds must be dedicated to chronically homeless persons or DedicatedPLUS  
**RRH:** Unaccompanied LGBTQ Youth, Youth Families with Children, Survivors of Domestic Violence/Victims of Human Trafficking  
**TH or TH-RRH:** DV or youth | **Total Points Possible:** 5 pts.  
For each project type if yes to serving a priority population the applicant will receive 5 pts. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Racial Equity | Project Application | Identified barriers to participation faced by individuals of different races and ethnicities, especially those over-represented process taken or planned steps to eliminate barriers. | **Total Points: 10 pts.**  
**Project application must clearly state/reference its distribution of race and ethnicity steps taken/will be taken to eliminate barriers.** |
| | | | **Section D: Subtotal** 35 |
## Section E: HMIS Data Quality - 15 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Timeliness</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD Data Quality (DQ) Report</td>
<td>% of records between 0-3 days  &lt;br&gt;DQ Q6: &lt;br&gt;Total Records: 42 &lt;br&gt;Total Records 0 - 3 days: 22 &lt;br&gt;22/42 = 52.38%</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5  &lt;br&gt;85% +: 5 pts  &lt;br&gt;70% to 84 %: 3 pts  &lt;br&gt;55% to 69 %: 2 pts  &lt;br&gt;&lt; 54.9% : 0 pts</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUD Universal Data Element: Project Start Date and Exit Data</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Project Start and Exit Data'  &lt;br&gt;DQ Report Q3: 0%</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5  &lt;br&gt;Less then 5 %: 5 pts  &lt;br&gt;5% to 9.99 %: 3 pts  &lt;br&gt;10% to 14.99%: 2 pts  &lt;br&gt;15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project's Data Quality: Income at Annual Assessment</strong></td>
<td>HMIS HUD DQ Report</td>
<td>Enter &quot;% of Error Rate&quot; for 'Income and Sources at Annual Assessment'  &lt;br&gt;0%</td>
<td>Total Points Possible: 5  &lt;br&gt;Less then 5 %: 5 pts  &lt;br&gt;5% to 9.99 %: 3 pts  &lt;br&gt;10% to 14.99%: 2 pts  &lt;br&gt;15% or more: 0 pts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section E: Subtotal**<br>10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Alignment with Housing First Principles | CoC Project Application - Housing First Questionnaire | To what extent do the project’s written policies and procedures ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following criteria?  
  - Having too little or no income  
  - Failure to participate in supportive services (with exception for HUD-mandated monthly case management meeting for RRH program participants).  
  - Active, or history of, substance use or a substance use disorder  
  - Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions)  
  - History or survivor of domestic violence | Total Points Possible: 10  
  Yes to all and the project will be awarded maximum points; No to any and the project will score zero | 10    |
| Coordinated Access Referral         | Coordinated Access Report                   | Extent to which clients were assigned by CES  
  Households enrolled this reporting period: 10  
  With CE referral: 4  
  4/10 = 40% | Test Question                                                                                     | 40%   |
| Coordinated Access Referral         | Coordinated Access Report                   | Length of Time from Referral to Project Intake  
  Less than 30 days will be awarded maximum points. More than 30 days will result in a score of zero  
  Average days from Referral to enrollment: 61.75 days | Test Question                                                                                     | 40%   |
| Filing of APR                       | SAGE APR Report                             | Applicant timely and successfully filed APR | Total Points Possible: 10  
  If filed on time receive full pts.  
  If filed late receive zero pts. | 10    |

Section F: Subtotal: 20
### Section G: COC Participation - 20 Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| COC Participation    | Point-in-Time (PIT) and HIC Involvement      | 2022 PIT Sign Ups and Participation; Agency submission of 2022 HIC (Only unsheltered count in 2022) | Total Points Possible: 10  
PIT Participation = 5 pts  
HIC Submission = 5 pts | 10    |
| COC Participation    | COC Membership participation                | Sign Up Sheets for CoC General Membership Participation                             | Total Points Possible: 5  
If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
If attended 8+: 5 pts | 4     |
| COC Participation    | COC Committee participation                 | Sign Up Sheets for CoC Committees Participation                                    | Total Points Possible: 5  
If attended 2-3: 2 pts.  
If attended 4-7: 4 pts  
If attended 8+: 5 pts | 4     |

**Section G: Subtotal**

18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to Mainstream Benefits</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant or project partner has process in place to ensure enrollment in mainstream benefits</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts. If NO, but will perform same function, ADD 3 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Liaison</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project partner has committed to have a designated staff person whose responsibilities include ensuring children are enrolled in school and receive appropriate services as required</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Effectiveness</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Project is cost effective Considered Elements: Cost effective (number of persons served/requested total) as compared to other projects or proposals providing the same component</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Satisfaction Surveys</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Award 5 points for a “Yes” response. If response is “No” then the project will score zero</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Inclusion/Non-Discrimination Policy</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant ensures inclusion and non-discrimination based on equal access criteria</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation by population served</td>
<td>Agency written policies and procedures</td>
<td>Does the agency have written policies and procedures submitted by the project and/or a narrative response demonstrating client participation in program design and policy-making? Yes and the maximum points will be awarded; No and zero points will be awarded</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving Assistance to LGBTQ+</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant demonstrates participation and partnerships with organizations that have expertise in serving the LGBTQ+ population.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Affordable Housing Supply</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>Applicant describes how it engages with local leaders about increasing housing supply.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID Response</td>
<td>Project Application</td>
<td>The project has implemented COVID-19 safety protocols including but not limited to testing, vaccinations, etc.</td>
<td>Total Points: 5 pts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section H: Subtotal**

35
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Data Calculations</th>
<th>Scoring Values</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation at RFP Workshop</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Yes attended or No did not attend from Sign in Sheet</td>
<td>If YES, ADD 5 pts.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification
1 message

Monique Elton <melton@changinghomelessness.org>  Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:01 PM
To: Lori Weber <lweber@ccbjax.org>, "Daphne H. Brusoe" <dbrusoe@ccbjax.org>
Cc: "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>, Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>

Dear Ms. Weber and Ms. Brusoe,

On behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation, and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

**Not Selected for Funding Consideration**

Catholic Charities, New Bonus Project | $320,669

Based on the cumulative average score of the Ranking and Scoring Committee, the Catholic Charities' new bonus project was not selected to be considered for funding. If you would like to schedule time for an application debrief to learn more about why your application was not selected, please respond via email to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org with “Request CoC Application Debrief” in the email subject line and we will schedule a time after October 20th.

*All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.*

**PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process:** Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellee by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on Friday, September 30th. Please review the HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C for more details on submitting a Solo application.

Sincerely,

Monique

--

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers

904-361-4400 Ext 302, Office
Re: FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification
1 message

Monique Elton <melton@eshcnet.org>  Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 3:00 PM
To: Shannon Nazworth <snazworth@abilityhousing.org>, Cody Spencer Spencer <cspencer@abilityhousing.org>
Cc: "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>, Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>

As a supplement to yesterday's announcement email, we are providing/attaching the Project Ranking information that will be included as part of the CoC application.

Please keep in mind the following information:
1. Coordinated Entry and HMIS projects are ranked 1 - 5 as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
2. Renewal projects also receive priority and are ranked in Tier 1, as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
3. New Renewals typically rank last in Tier as it has not completed a full year/Annual Progress Report; and
4. New Bonus or New DV Bonus are ranked in Tier 2, as approved by the CoC Governance Board

Thank you in advance for your commitment to our community,
Monique

On Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:00 PM Monique Elton <MElton@changinghomelessness.org> wrote:

Dear Ms. Nazworth and Mr. Spencer,

On behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

**Tier 1/Renewals – Accepted**

#6 – Villages PSH | $472,120
#7 – Housing Link PSH | $1,389,657

All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.

**Reminder:** If your agency’s projects are selected as part of the FY2022 HUD CoC Program Competition, your agency will be invoiced a grant writing fee of ¼ % (.005) X the total grant amount request.

**PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process:** Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellee by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on Friday, September 30th. Please review the HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C for more details on submitting a Solo application.
Sincerely,
Monique

--

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office
www.changinghomelessness.org

--

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office
www.changinghomelessness.org
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Re: FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification

1 message

Monique Elton <MElton@changinghomelessness.org> Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 3:00 PM
To: Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>
Cc: "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>

As a supplement to yesterday's announcement email, we are providing/attaching the Project Ranking information that will be included as part of the CoC application.

Please keep in mind the following information:
1. Coordinated Entry and HMIS projects are ranked 1 - 5 as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
2. Renewal projects also receive priority and are ranked in Tier 1, as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
3. New Renewals typically rank last in Tier as it has not completed a full year/Annual Progress Report; and
4. New Bonus or New DV Bonus are ranked in Tier 2, as approved by the CoC Governance Board

Thank you in advance for your commitment to our community,
Monique

On Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:00 PM Monique Elton <MElton@changinghomelessness.org> wrote:

Dear Ms. Gilman,

On behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation, and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

**Tier 1/Renewals – Accepted**

#3 – NEFIN HMIS Training and Analysis | $76,471
#4 – Northeast Florida Info Network | $65,600
#5 – Universal Linkage | $58,269
#11 – Homesafe | $639,569
#14 – Safe Spaces | $82,686
#15 – DV RRH FY2022 | $613,238

**Tier 2/New – Accepted**

#19 –, DV Coordinated Entry, New DV Bonus Project | $584,877

*All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.*

**PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process:** Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellant by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. *Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).*

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to...
participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on Friday, September 30th. Please review the [HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C](#) for more details on submitting a Solo application.

Sincerely,

Monique

---

Monique Elton  
Chief Community Engagement Officer  
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers  
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office  
www.changinghomelessness.org

---

Monique Elton  
Chief Community Engagement Officer  
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers  
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office  
www.changinghomelessness.org

---
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Re: FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification

1 message

Monique Elton <melton@eshcnet.org>    Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 3:00 PM
To: Cythia Harpman <cynthia.harpman@fssnf.org>, Kathleen Guy-Johanessen <Kassy.Johanessen@fssnf.org>
Cc: "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>, Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>

As a supplement to yesterday's announcement email, we are providing/attaching the Project Ranking information that will be included as part of the CoC application.

Please keep in mind the following information:
1. Coordinated Entry and HMIS projects are ranked 1 - 5 as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
2. Renewal projects also receive priority and are ranked in Tier 1, as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
3. New Renewals typically rank last in Tier as it has not completed a full year/Annual Progress Report; and
4. New Bonus or New DV Bonus are ranked in Tier 2, as approved by the CoC Governance Board

Thank you in advance for your commitment to our community,

Monique

On Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:00 PM Monique Elton <melton@changinghomelessness.org> wrote:

Dear Ms. Harpman and Ms. Guy-Johanessen

Congratulations, on behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation, and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

Selected to be submitted to HUD for Funding (please note, this is not a guarantee of funding).

Tier 2/New Bonus – Accepted

Family Support Services, New Bonus Project | $235,054

All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.

PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process: Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellant by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on Friday, September 30th. Please review the HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C for more details on submitting a Solo application.

Sincerely,
Monique

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office
www.changinghomelessness.org
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Re: FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification
1 message

Monique Elton <melton@eshcnnet.org> Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 3:00 PM
To: Gail Patin <gpatin@hubbardhouse.org>, Kristi Brandon <kbrandon@hubbardhouse.org>
Cc: "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Asley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>, Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>

As a supplement to yesterday's announcement email, we are providing/attaching the Project Ranking information that will be included as part of the CoC application.

Please keep in mind the following information:
1. Coordinated Entry and HMIS projects are ranked 1 - 5 as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
2. Renewal projects also receive priority and are ranked in Tier 1, as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
3. New Renewals typically rank last in Tier as it has not completed a full year/Annual Progress Report; and
4. New Bonus or New DV Bonus are ranked in Tier 2, as approved by the CoC Governance Board.

Thank you in advance for your commitment to our community,

Monique

On Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:00 PM Monique Elton <MElton@changinghomelessness.org> wrote:

Dear Ms. Patin and Ms. Brandon,

On behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation, and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

**Tier 1/Renewals – Accepted**

#16 – DV HOPE Project | $591,570

#17 – DV Creating Hope, Project | $506,305

*All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.*

**Reminder:** If your agency's projects are selected as part of the FY2022 HUD CoC Program Competition, your agency will be invoiced a grant writing fee of ½ % (0.05%) X the total grant amount request.

**PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process:** Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Asley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellee by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on Friday, September 30th. Please review the HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C for more details on submitting a Solo application.
Sincerely,

Monique

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office
www.changinghomelessness.org

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office
www.changinghomelessness.org
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Re: FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification
1 message

Monique Elton <MElton@changinghomelessness.org> Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 3:00 PM
To: Robert Sommers <rbhsadmin@bellsouth.net>, Debbie O'Neal <Doneal@mhrcf.com>, "Michele B. Tucker" <mtucker@mhrcf.com>
Cc: "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>, Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>

As a supplement to yesterday’s announcement email, we are providing/attaching the Project Ranking information that will be included as part of the CoC application.

Please keep in mind the following information:
1. Coordinated Entry and HMIS projects are ranked 1 - 5 as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
2. Renewal projects also receive priority and are ranked in Tier 1, as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
3. New Renewals typically rank last in Tier as it has not completed a full year/Annual Progress Report; and
4. New Bonus or New DV Bonus are ranked in Tier 2, as approved by the CoC Governance Board

Thank you in advance for your commitment to our community,
Monique

On Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:00 PM Monique Elton <MElton@changinghomelessness.org> wrote:

Dear Mr. Sommers, Ms. O’Neal, and Ms. Tucker,

On behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

Tier 1/Renewals—Accepted

#1 – Community Outreach Program | $254,553
#2 – Coordinated Entry Expansion | $120,000

All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.

Reminder: If your agency’s projects are selected as part of the FY2022 HUD CoC Program Competition, your agency will be invoiced a grant writing fee of ½ % (.005) X the total grant amount request.

PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process: Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellee by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on Friday, September 30th. Please review the HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C for more details on submitting a Solo application.
Sincerely,
Monique

--

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office

www.changinghomelessness.org

--

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office

www.changinghomelessness.org
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Re: FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification

1 message

Monique Elton <Melton@changinghomelessness.org> Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 3:00 PM
To: Teri Ketchum <teriketchum@presbyteriansocialministries.org>
Cc: "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>, Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>

As a supplement to yesterday's announcement email, we are providing/attaching the Project Ranking information that will be included as part of the CoC application.

Please keep in mind the following information:
1. Coordinated Entry and HMIS projects are ranked 1 - 5 as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
2. Renewal projects also receive priority and are ranked in Tier 1, as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
3. New Renewals typically rank last in Tier as it has not completed a full year/Annual Progress Report; and
4. New Bonus or New DV Bonus are ranked in Tier 2, as approved by the CoC Governance Board.

Thank you in advance for your commitment to our community,

Monique

On Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:00 PM Monique Elton <Melton@changinghomelessness.org> wrote:

Dear Ms. Ketchum,

On behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

**Tier 1/Renewal – Accepted**

#9 – Homesafe Extention | $85,531

*All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.*

Reminder: If your agency’s projects are selected as part of the FY2022 HUD CoC Program Competition, your agency will be invoiced a grant writing fee of ½ % (.005) X the total grant amount request.

**PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process:** Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellee by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. *Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).*

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on Friday, September 30th. Please review the HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C for more details on submitting a Solo application.

Sincerely,
Monique

---

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office
www.changinghomelessness.org

---

Monique Elton
Chief Community Engagement Officer
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office
www.changinghomelessness.org

---
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Re: FL-510 FY2022 HUD CoC Application Notification

1 message

Monique Elton <melton@esbnet.org> Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 3:00 PM
To: Cindy Funkhouse <CindyFunkhouse@sulzbacherjax.org>, Andy Barber <AndyBarber@sulzbacherjax.org>, "Pratt, Ashley R." <Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu>, Jake Gordon <jake@downtownjacksonville.org>, Dawn Gilman <dgilman@changinghomelessness.org>

As a supplement to yesterday's announcement email, we are providing/attaching the Project Ranking information that will be included as part of the CoC application.

Please keep in mind the following information:
1. Coordinated Entry and HMIS projects are ranked 1 - 5 as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
2. Renewal projects also receive priority and are ranked in Tier 1, as approved by the CoC Governance Board;
3. New Renewals typically rank last in Tier as it has not completed a full year/Annual Progress Report; and
4. New Bonus or New DV Bonus are ranked in Tier 2, as approved by the CoC Governance Board.

Thank you in advance for your commitment to our community,
Monique

On Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:00 PM Monique Elton <MElton@changinghomelessness.org> wrote:

Dear Ms. Funkhouse and Mr. Barber,

On behalf of Ashley Pratt and Jake Gordon, Northeast Florida Continuum of Care (CoC) Board Co-Chairs, we are writing to inform you that the Ranking and Scoring Committee has submitted its recommendation and the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board (FL-510) affirmed the following for your FY2022 CoC Program Competition Program projects:

**Tier 1/Renewals – Accepted**

#8 – Homeward Bound Expansion | $218,665
#10 – Homeward Bound | $314,566
#12 – First Coast Rapid Rehousing | $77,754
#13 – North Florida Rapid Rehousing | $846,821

*All Renewal Projects are ranked in Tier 1 as determined by the Northeast Florida CoC Governance Board.*

**Reminder:** If your agency’s projects are selected as part of the FY2022 HUD CoC Program Competition, your agency will be invoiced a grant writing fee of ¼ % (.005) X the total grant amount request.

**PLEASE NOTE the Appeals Process:** Any appeals will be considered by an Appeals Committee of the Northeast Florida CoC. Appeals must be submitted to Pratt.Ashley@mayo.edu, jake@downtownjacksonville.org, and melton@changinghomelessness.org by 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 21st. Based on the review and findings of the Appeals Committee, the Northeast Florida CoC will provide a written response to the appellants by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 23rd. Any project applicant that submitted a project that was rejected by the CoC in the local competition will be notified in writing by the CoC, outside of e-snaps, with an explanation for the decision to reject the project(s).

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal to HUD regarding the local CoC competition decision if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner. The project applicant can submit a Solo application in e-snaps directly to HUD before the application deadline of 8 p.m. on
Friday, September 30th. Please review the HUD FY2022 NOFO Section X.C for more details on submitting a Solo application.

Sincerely,
Monique

---

Monique Elton  
Chief Community Engagement Officer  
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers  
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office  
www.changinghomelessness.org

---

Monique Elton  
Chief Community Engagement Officer  
Pronouns: She | Her | Hers  
904-354-1100 EXT 303 Office  
www.changinghomelessness.org

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Grant Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mental Health Resource Center - Community Outreach Program (CES)</td>
<td>$254,553.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mental Health Resource Center - Coordinated Entry Expansion (CES)</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness - NEFIN HMIS Training and Analysis (HMIS)</td>
<td>$76,471.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness - Northeast Florida Info Network (HMIS)</td>
<td>$65,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness - Universal Linkage (HMIS)</td>
<td>$58,269.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ability Housing - Villages PSH (1)</td>
<td>$472,120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ability Housing - Housing Link PSH (2)</td>
<td>$1,389,657.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sulzbacher - Homeward Bound Expansion (3)</td>
<td>$218,665.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Presbyterian Social Ministries - Homesafe Extention (4)</td>
<td>$85,531.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sulzbacher - Homeward Bound (5)</td>
<td>$314,566.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness - Homesafe (6)</td>
<td>$639,569.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sulzbacher - First Coast Rapid Rehousing (RRH) (7)</td>
<td>$77,754.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sulzbacher - North Florida SHP (8)</td>
<td>$846,821.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness - Safe Spaces (9)</td>
<td>$82,686.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness - DV RRH FY2021 (10)</td>
<td>$613,238.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hubbard House - (DV) HOPE (11)</td>
<td>$591,570.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Hubbard House - (DV) Creating Hope (12) (New Renewal)</td>
<td>$506,305.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Family Support Services (1)</td>
<td>$235,054.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Bonus</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Changing Homelessness - DV Coordinated Entry</td>
<td>DV Bonus (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>